1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION	
3	In Re:	Case No. 21-30085-hdh-11 Jointly Administered
4	NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION) OF AMERICA, et al.,)	Dallas, Texas Tuesday, April 20, 2021
5	Debtors.)	1:30 p.m. Docket
6 7)	MOTIONS (AFTERNOON DOCKET)
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE HARLIN DEWAYNE HALE,	
9	UNITED STATES CHIEF BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.	
10	WEBEX APPEARANCES:	
11	Pa	ouglas James Buncher atrick J. Neligan
12	NI	ohn D. Gaither ELIGAN, LLP
13	Da	25 N. St. Paul, Suite 3600 allas, TX 75201 214) 840-5333
14		
15	W:	regory Eugene Garman illiam McCarty Noall alitha Gray Kozlowski
16 17	Dy GA	ylan Thomas Ciciliano ARMAN TURNER GORDON, LLP
18	La	251 Amigo Street, Suite 210 as Vegas, NV 89119 725) 777-3000
19		eresa M. Pilatowicz
20	11	ARMAN TURNER GORDON, LLP 415 East Camelback Road,
21		Suite 700 noenix, AZ 85016 602) 508-6000
22	·	,
23	M:	ouis E. Robichaux, IV ichael W. Morton
24	15	NKURA Consulting 5950 Dallas Parkway, Suite 750
25	Da	allas, TX 75248

1 APPEARANCES, cont'd.: 2 For the Office of the Gerrit M. Pronske New York State Attorney Eric M. Van Horn 3 General: Jason Patrick Kathman SPENCER FANE, LLP 4 5700 Granite Parkway, Suite 650 Plano, TX 75024 5 (972) 324-0300 6 For the Office of the Monica Connell Emily Stern New York State Attorney 7 General: James Sheehan Stephen Thompson 8 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL-NEW YORK 9 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005 10 (212) 416-8401 11 For the D.C. Attorney Leonor Miranda General's Office: OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY 12 GENERAL FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 13 600 6th Street N.W., 10th Floor Washington, D.C. 20001 14 (202) 727-3400 15 For Ackerman McQueen, Brian Edward Mason G. Michael Gruber Inc.: 16 H. Joseph Acosta Kelsey M. Taylor 17 DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP 300 Crescent Court, Suite 400 18 Dallas, TX 75201 (214) 981-9900 19 For the Official Committee Louis R. Strubeck, Jr. 20 Of Unsecured Creditors: Scott P. Drake Nick Hendrix 21 Laura Smith NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 22 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600 Dallas, TX 75201 23 (214) 855-8000 24 25

Spencer Fane, working with the New York Attorney General.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

believe Ms. Connell just joined, so she can inform the Court

as to whether the New York Attorney General is ready to rest.

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CONNELL: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm sorry I was a minute or two late. We are prepared to rest, pending any necessary rebuttal, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. CONNELL: Thank you.

THE COURT: And then, Mr. Garman, when we broke, we left it up to you all to report back to us on how you wanted to handle after we're done with the Ackerman part of the case today.

Your Honor, I will admit it had MR. GARMAN: Yeah. been my expectation and understanding that Ackerman was calling another live witness. I'm certainly appreciative that they did not.

I could proceed with a witness that would feel out of order to me this afternoon if the Court would like me to for an efficiency perspective. But my preference would be that I kind of do what I typically do: evaluate everybody's cases, make my final tweaks on kind of order of witnesses, and begin in the morning. But I can proceed -- my preference is to start in the morning, but I can proceed this afternoon efficiently if called upon to do so.

THE COURT: Let me -- I think I'm going to let you do what you want to do, Mr. Garman, so this probably won't be outcome-determinative, but about how long do you think your case, the NRA's case in chief, is going to take? And, again,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand --

MR. GARMAN: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- these things don't always work out like we all expect them, including the first part of this trial.

MR. GARMAN: Yes, sir. So, I have a current rotation of approximately 11 witnesses. Most of them, I get in and out of fairly quick. That certainly could grow or shrink depending upon the testimony and the cross. And I will tell you that my estimate is that I have two full trial days, maybe two and a quarter trial days, of testimony to elicit, not including any cross-examination.

THE COURT: Okay. That's helpful. All right. Ι'm inclined -- well, you know, this has taken a little bit longer than we thought. I think I'm inclined to let's finish with Ackerman and then let you go ahead and prepare your case in chief starting tomorrow morning. It's a 10:30 start. It's the only other teaching day that I've got. Tomorrow's the last day of class, so that's a good thing. But that would be my inclination. And then everybody can get ready for cross and whatever.

Now, who -- can you tell who the first witness is going to be, so that folks can get ready for that, if we do it that way?

MR. GARMAN: Yeah, Your Honor, sure. Your Honor, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will say that I don't actually know who the first one will be, but I will tell you, if I have a full day, I would hope to get three witnesses in in one full day of trial, and they are Plotts from Aronson, board member Tom King, and member of the Special Litigation Committee, Lee, Second Vice President Lee.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think that's helpful --

MR. GARMAN: But I cannot represent I know the order of how they would go yet, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I -- if you could just let the other side know that those are your first three witnesses in some particular order, I think that would be helpful to them. All right.

And so anything else from you, Mr. Garman? So that would be the working model, that we'll start at 10:30 with your case in chief.

MR. GARMAN: Yeah. No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Now, --

Your Honor, if I may, do we have --MR. MASON: because if I understand Mr. Garman, it'll be -- he'll need about two and half days. Do we have days set aside next week to continue?

THE COURT: We don't yet. I know that we can't do it the first three days. So, but we -- the answer is not

yet.

MR. MASON: Okay.

MR. GRUBER: Your Honor, this is Mike Gruber. Can I tell Mr. Garman I appreciate him sharing the witnesses with us for tomorrow? I really do. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. The record notes that Mr. Gruber said something awfully nice on the record.

MR. GRUBER: It's the first and last time, Your Honor, so --

THE COURT: I don't believe that for a minute. I've heard you say nice things before.

All right. Now, Mr. Mason, we've just got hot off the presses your color code, so thank you for doing that.

MR. MASON: Absolutely. And we are ready to proceed. We intend to proceed with Ms. Meadows by deposition first.

THE COURT: All right. And have you -- did you have a chance to visit with the Debtor over the break?

MR. MASON: We did, Your Honor. And I would like to think we're probably ninety percent of the way on the same page.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. MASON: For the most part, I believe that the transcript that was just circulated to the Court accurately reflects, you know, where we're going to be going.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And just for the Court's convenience, the portions in yellow were designated by Ackerman and there were no original objections asserted by the Debtors. The portions that you have in front of you that are pink or coral are portions that Ackerman designated that the NRA has asserted objections to. And we will obviously want to take those up with Your Honor. And then with respect to I believe the green or blueish portions, I believe they're green, those are counterdesignations by the Debtors that we will be reading in and including as we proceed through Ms. Meadows' testimony.

I will tell you, and I briefly spoke with Mr. Noall about this, there are a few portions where Ackerman designated some testimony initially, the NRA did not assert an objection to that testimony on August -- I mean, I'm sorry, on April the 7th, and then there are some new objections that we received this morning. We've tried to go ahead and work through those, but there could be a few of those, and I expect Mr. Noall will chime in and speak up if there's any issue on that.

But that's kind of just how we intend to proceed. And unless there's anything from the Court, we are -- we're ready to go.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question, just sort of following up. Did we put in an order, or was this something I just said on the record, on the deadline for filing

Meadows - Deposition 10 1 objections and counter-designations? I remember the counter-2 designation part. So is there something one of my law clerks 3 can look at on the docket on a deadline for objections? Or 4 was that --5 MR. MASON: I do not --THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MR. MASON: I do not recall seeing a written order. I believe Your Honor mentioned it. I believe it was the 8 9 Thursday or Friday before we began the trial on Monday. 10 sure somebody else can correct me if I'm wrong, but that's --11 that was my recollection. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we may be able to still 13 find something on it. All right. We'll just take that up 14 when we come to it. 15 All right. Are you ready to go? 16 MR. MASON: We are, Your Honor. And my colleague, 17 Kelsey Taylor, will be sitting in for Ms. Meadows. 18 THE COURT: You may proceed. 19 MR. MASON: And if we can, let's start on Page 14, 20 please.

> CAROLYN MEADOWS, ACKERMAN MCQUEEN, INC.'S WITNESS BY DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

(Deposition read.)

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Meadows, could you describe your background with the NRA, your history with the NRA?

"A Sure. In the '90s, I did not-for-pay lobbying for NRA and with the Georgia Legislature and with the Congressional delegation. I actually went on the board in 2003. But I knew Wayne LaPierre long before that and was active.

- "Q Can I ask you how you met Mr. LaPierre?
- "A At a fundraising event.
- "O For the NRA?

- "A Actually, for a political candidate.
- "Q And after you met Mr. LaPierre, did you develop a professional or personal relationship with him?
 - "A Professional. Yes.
 - "Q And what was that relationship? How would you describe it?
 - "A Very good."

THE COURT: Ms. Taylor, would you mind raising your volume or just speaking a little bit louder? I think it would help us be able to hear you better.

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.

MR. MASON: And we're going to jump to Page 33, beginning on Line 21.

(Deposition read.)

"Q So I think you -- so I think you say you engaged in meetings and phone conferences with other board members and potentially other NRA employees. Is that

1 | fair to say?

"A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q In the course of your meetings, NRA-related, or your phone conferences, NRA-related, do you ever -- do you make a record of those meetings or discussions, such as by taking notes?

"A Yes.

"Q What is your practice? I know what my practice is when I'm at the phone, but could you describe how you memorialize your conversation that you might have with another director or NRA employee on the phone?

"A I abbreviate messages.

"Q And do you keep a record of those messages?

"A I did, yes.

"Q Do you still have those -- the -- your notes of phone calls or meetings?

"A No.

"Q What happened to them?

"A I destroyed them.

"Q When did you destroy them?

"A Earlier last year. Earlier in the year.

"Q So that would be earlier in 2019?

"A Yes.

"Q Do you know, was there a reason why you destroyed those records?

13

"A I was told they could be subpoenaed and used.

"Q Who told you that they could be subpoenaed and used?

- "A John Frazer.
- "Q Did he give you advice?
- "A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- "Q And based on that advice, did you destroy your notes and records?
- "A Yes.
 - "Q Do you know whether, at the time, NRA employees or board members were under what we call retention notice to preserve any documents based on investigations potentially by the New York State Attorney General's Office?
- "A Yes.
 - "Q Were you under such a retention notice at the time? Preservation notice?
 - "A My records were destroyed before that date.
- 19 | "O Before that date?
 - "A Yes."
 - MR. MASON: Kelsey, if I could ask you just to speak up a little bit more. And we'll skip up to Page 38, beginning on Line 14.
 - (Deposition read.)
- 25 | "Q Okay. So notes that you would have taken about

14

meetings us within the NRA or conversations you had with other NRA directors and employees were destroyed in early 2019, correct?

- "A Yes.
- "Q So those no longer exist?
- | "A Correct.
 - "Q Did you stop taking notes after that point?
- 8 | "A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

- "Q Okay. Did you have any concerns at that time that if you destroyed those records and notes that it might be considered an effort to -- and I don't mean this in a -- but an effort to hide evidence that could potentially be used later?
- 14 | "A No.
- 15 "Q Okay. And this was after you talked to Mr. 16 Frazer?
- 17 | "A Yes.
- 18 "Q Did you consult with him about whether you should 19 keep your notes?
 - "A Absolutely.
 - "Q Okay. And not -- not going into exactly what he told you, but based on your conversation with him, you ultimately destroyed those notes?
 - ∥ "A Yes.
- 25 "Q So they no longer exist?

- "A If I destroyed them, they don't exist.
- "Q How did you destroy them?
- "A Some I shredded. Some I actually burned."

MR. MASON: If we can skip to Page 45, beginning on Line 19, please.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q And you have been elected consistently since 2003, correct?
- "A Correct.

- "Q What are your responsibilities as just a board member of the NRA board of directors? And this is kind of separate and apart from other responsibilities that you might have as a member of the Audit Committee.
- "A All board members are assigned to committees by the president. So they would work with those individual committees.
- "Q So you might be assigned to an individual committee? When you say by the president, would that be the president of the NRA, as opposed to the executive vice president?
- "A Yes.
- "Q So at some point in time in July, Pete Brownell was the president, and then Oliver North later became the president, correct?

"A Yes.

"Q And they would make assignments to the committees for the board members?

"A Yes.

"Q Other than the Audit Committee, what other committees were you appointed to in 2018?

"A Finance. Audits, of course. Oh ghee (phonetic).

I think as president, actually, I'm an ex officio
member of all -- all the committees.

"Q In your current position as president of the NRA?

"A Correct."

MR. MASON: And then if we can go to Page 50, beginning on Line 15.

(Deposition read.)

"Q So, for instance, are you aware of any disclosures that the NRA has -- has to make to the New York State authorities about, let's say, what we call related-party transactions?

"A That is not part of my job."

MR. NOALL: Objection, Your Honor. I think the transcript was misread by counsel.

THE COURT: I think so, too. I think it's "contracts" instead of "transactions," Mr. Mason.

MR. MASON: Yep. I apologize. I'll -- let me start over.

- 1 | (Deposition read.)
- 2 So, for instance, are you aware of any
- 3 disclosures that the NRA has to make to the New York
- 4 State authorities about, let's say, what we call
- 5 related-party contracts?
- 6 | "A That is not part of my job.
- 7 | "Q Understood.
- 8 | "A At all.
- 9 | "Q And so is it fair to say you are not familiar
- 10 | with those requirements?
- 11 | "A That would be fair.
- 12 | "Q Whose job would that be?
- 13 | "A The legal counsel. Secretary and treasurer.
- 14 | "Q Okay. And just legal counsel, would that be Mr.
- 15 || Frazer?
- 16 | "A Correct.
- 17 | "Q Secretary. Who would that be?
- 18 | "A Mr. Frazer. He holds both.
- 19 "O He holds both of them? And the treasurer?
- 20 | "A Craig Spray.
- 21 | "Q And would Mr. Woody Phillips have preceded Mr.
- 22 Spray as the treasurer?
- 23 | "A Yes.
- 24 | "Q So let me see if I can just try to put us on the
- 25 same page chronologically. But I think beginning in

July of 2018, when you became or were elected second 1 2 vice president of the NRA, up until April of 2019, you 3 served in that same position as second vice president, 4 correct? ''A 5 Yes. And at some point after you became second vice 6 7 president, Mr. Brownell was replaced by Oliver North as the president, correct? 8 9 ''A Correct. 10 But through that entire time, Mr. Childress had "O 11 remained as the first vice president; is that correct? 12 ''A Correct." 13 MR. MASON: And then if we can skip to Page 63, 14 beginning on Line 3. 15 (Deposition read.) 16 So, Mr. LaPierre told you that he was aware of 17 fact that Lieutenant Colonel North would be 18 entering into a contract with Ackerman McQueen? 19 ''A Yes. 20 "Q And what would be the purpose of that contract, 21 as far as you know, either from your discussion with 22 Mr. LaPierre, Oliver North, or anyone else? Anybody 23 else?

NRA's contractual agreement with Colonel North,

as an employee of Ack-Mac, would have to be -- would

24

25

''A

have been to produce 12 events a year for us, for NRA TV, for the *Hero* series."

MR. MASON: And if we could skip to Page 85, please, beginning on Line 16.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Okay. Thank you. If I could take you to the fourth paragraph, where it says, Whereas, the Audit Committee has therefore determined that it is fair, reasonable, and in the best interest of the NRA to approve and ratify Lieutenant Colonel North's continued participation in the AMC contract during his service on the NRA board and as an NRA officer. Do you see that?

"A Yes.

"Q So was that what the Audit Committee determined at that time?

"A Yes.

"Q And did the Audit Committee then approve Colonel North's continued participation in his contract with Ackerman McQueen?

"A Yes.

"Q Even if that contract might have been a contract as an employee of Ackerman McQueen?

"A Yes."

MR. MASON: I believe 19 and 20, Lines 19 and 20,

Meadows - Deposition 20 Ms. Taylor, on Page 86. MS. TAYLOR: Apologies. MR. NOALL: I'm sorry, Your Honor. So, our objection here, I believe we had voiced an objection on the record. And that objection, I believe, was designated. I'm just checking. (Pause.) MR. NOALL: Our objection was to the form of the question of -- actually, I'll withdraw the objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Noall. MR. MASON: Let's -- let's go back --THE COURT: Yes. I think --MR. MASON: -- to Page 86, Line 12, if we can. (Deposition read.)

> Even if that contract might have been a contract as an employee of Ackerman McQueen?"

MS. TAYLOR: And I'll just note that it looks like Lines 19 and 20 are still part of the question that was repeated.

MR. MASON: Oh, yeah, I apologize. You are right and I am wrong.

(Deposition read.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"O Even if the contract meant that Oliver North was an employee of Ackerman McQueen?

se 21	30085-hdh11 Doc 660 Filed 04/21/21 Entered 04/21/21 13:39:24 Page 21 of 12		
	Meadows - Deposition 21		
1	"A Yes."		
2	MR. MASON: If we could fast-forward to Page 109,		
3	please, Line 20.		
4	(Deposition read.)		
5	"Q How did Bill Brewer come to be picked, do you know?		
6	"A Yes.		
7	"Q How how did he come to be picked?		
8	"A He was chosen by Wayne LaPierre at the		
9	recommendation of Steve Hart.		
10	"Q And when it says, did he reveal relationship to		
11	Angus McQueen, what relationship did Bill Brewer have		
12	to Angus McQueen?		
13	"A Father-in-law."		
14	MR. MASON: And then it goes down to say:		
15	(Deposition read.)		
16	"Q Did he disclose his ethics problems in Texas? Do		
17	you have any information about Mr. Brewer's ethical		
18	problems in Texas?		
19	"A Only what was in the New York Times."		

MR. MASON: And if we go to Page 114, beginning on

So, Ms. Meadows, if -- and forgive me for this,

because I don't want to misstate anything that you

might have said before, but I think you indicated that

20

21

22

23

24

25

Line 19.

(Deposition read.)

when we looked at that agenda for October 24th, which was some months ago, before this February memo, that Lieutenant Colonel North raised some questions about Bill Brewer. Is that fair to say?

"A Yes.

"Q So, even at that time, in October of 2018, Lieutenant Colonel North had concerns about Mr. Brewer?

"A Yes.

"Q Can you tell us at that point, and let's say back in the fall of 2018, when you had that meeting, what were Lieutenant Colonel North's concerns about Mr. Brewer?

"A In the October meeting --"

MR. NOALL: Your Honor, and this is a place where we objected in our designations to speculation. And calling for improper opinion.

THE COURT: Response, Mr. Mason?

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I'm looking at their designations right now and their objections, and there's no objections identified. Including with what they filed this morning.

MR. NOALL: Then I'll withdraw that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Noall.

MR. MASON: And let me -- I'm going to go back to

Line 11.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Can you tell us at that point, and let's say back in the fall of 2018, when you had that meeting, what were Lieutenant Colonel North's concerns about Mr. Brewer?

"A In the October meeting, I don't know. But leading up to this, it strictly had to do with the amount of money.

"Q But did he express to you that he was concerned about the amount of money that was being paid to the Brewer firm?

"A Yes.

"Q So this, if we look at this exhibit, it appears to be a letter addressed Mr. LaPierre, and it is dated -- the signatures are dated February 26, 2019. It reads, The elected non-salaried officers have met and determined that we have fiduciary obligations that need to be urgently addressed with you, and, if you wish, with Mr. Brewer. We have determined that the retainer agreement dated 7 March 2018 with Brewer, Attorneys and Counselors, is not properly executed and is not, therefore, valid.

It then goes on to say at the end, In order to comply with our own rules and nonprofit law in New

York, we insist that no invoices payable to Brewer, Attorneys and Counselors, be paid for services rendered after 28 February 2019.

It is then -- it appears to be signed by Lieutenant Colonel North, Mr. Childress, and you. Did you sign this document?

- "A Actually, yes.
- "Q Okay. And can you tell us why you signed it?
- "A I wish I could. No. Strike that. It -- I -- I agreed that more information should be forthcoming. Later, I would not have signed this.
- "Q But at this time, you did believe that more information, presumably about the Brewer law firm fees, should be forthcoming to the officers?
- "A Yes.

- "Q Did you feel that as part of the member" -- "as"
- MR. MASON: I'm sorry. Let me start over. (Deposition read.)
- "Q Did you feel that, as part of the -- member of the Audit Committee, that the Audit Committee should also be aware of the fees that were being charged by the Brewer law firm?
- "A Yes.
- U "Q And was the Audit Committee given information

about the fees being charged by the Brewer law firm?

"A When?

- "Q At this time.
- "A Not to my knowledge.
- "Q For instance, were invoices from the Brewer law firm ever shared with the officers, yourself, Mr. Childress, or Lieutenant Colonel North?
- "A No.
- "Q Why not?
- "A That is not our job.
 - "Q What harm would it be to have you be able to -for the president, the vice president, and the second
 vice president to be able to look at the invoices and
 see what they said?"
- MR. NOALL: Objection. Misleading, and it's vague and ambiguous.
- MR. MASON: Your Honor, I don't believe it's misleading at all. I think it's asking for an opinion. The objections that were previously asserted were speculation and an improper opinion, but I think that Ms. Meadows, as an officer of the NRA, can -- can answer the question as to what harm it would have caused, if any, just to look into these issues.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 | (Deposition read.)

"A I don't know that they -- I don't know that there would have been harm. Where it says unpaid people who meet irregularly, that is not part of what we do. We have good people who look at that and advise.

"Q And as officers of the NRA, do you agree that you have fiduciary duties to make sure that the NRA is, for lack of a better term, doing the right thing?

"A Absolutely."

MR. NOALL: We have an objection here, Your Honor. It's calling for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Sustained as to legal conclusion, but the witness may give her understanding, if she has one. And she has answered the question, "Absolutely."

MR. MASON: If we could then jump to Page 123, beginning on Line 19.

(Deposition read.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"O The first page appears to be an email that, on March 22, 2019, that Lieutenant Colonel North sent to Frazer. Ιt says, Subject: Confidential John Documents. And then it seems to have two attachments, one entitled "Letter to Brewer, March 22, '19," and then "Memo to the Audit Committee." And I believe those are the attachments that have been included. But he, in the email, Mr. North says, "John, Please find attached two confidential documents from the NRA board's three elected officers for urgent transmission to the NRA Audit Committee and the Brewer, Attorneys and Counselors." And then he describes both as being confidential. If you look at the first of these attached documents, it does appear to be a March 22, 2019 letter to William Brewer, and it does seem to be signed by Lieutenant Colonel North, Mr. Childress, and yourself.

Let me ask you, did you sign this letter?

- "A Oh, it was electronically signed.
- "Q Did you approve having your name assigned to this letter?
 - "A On the day, March 22nd, yes.
- "Q Okay.

- "A But I later rescinded it.
- "Q Understood. But on March 22nd, you did give approval to Lieutenant Colonel North to sign your name to this letter on that Friday, March 22nd?
 - "A I had a telephone."

THE COURT: Hold on.

MS. TAYLOR: (reading) "He called me."

THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Noall, I think there's a -- the next part is marked as an objection is pending. Do you have an objection?

MR. NOALL: I do not have an objection at this

point, Your Honor. I'll withdraw it. It's -- my objection is actually a little bit later on in this section.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Noall. (Deposition read.)

"A I had a telephone. He called me. I was in rural Alabama on the way to my granddaughter's wedding. We were cut off three times. I could not understand. I didn't -- based on what he said to me, I said he could use the electronic signature. By the following Monday, when I had it read to me, I talked to John Frazer and asked that my signature be removed, and it was done.

"Q Okay. So, based on the information that you had on Friday, you did give him approval to put your name on this?

"A Yes.

"Q He didn't do it without your approval?

"A Yes.

"Q And as you read the letter, it is addressed to Mr. Brewer, correct?

"A Correct.

"Q And then it seems to be asking first that Mr. Brewer give -- provide the NRA with separate engagement letters for each matter that Mr. Brewer is handling for the NRA. Do you see that?

1 || "A Yes.

"Q And then it describes, I think, A through F, at a minimum, what these separate engagement letters and budgets should cover. Do you see that?

"A Yes.

"Q Do you see any problem with requesting that kind of information from Mr. Brewer?

"A Yes.

"Q Why?

"A We have legal people in-house, treasurers, people who are employees, that EVP and -- that would be their job to do this.

"Q But if the president of the NRA felt that he had a fiduciary duty to look into this for whatever reason, whether it is -- you know, ultimately turns out to be wrong or right, why wouldn't he have the authority, if not the obligation, to ask for this information for himself and make sure it looked okay to him?"

MR. NOALL: Objection. Calls for speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion.

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I believe that Ms. Meadows, especially given the fact that at the time she gave this deposition was the acting president of the NRA, can answer a question about what is and is not appropriate for an NRA

president to be doing.

MR. NOALL: Your Honor, she was not the president at the time, and this is speculating. And this whole -- all this testimony is with respect to Mr. North.

MR. MASON: He was the president at the time of this deposition she gave, and she should be able to opine as to what is and is not appropriate for an NRA president to do or not do, even if when these actions were taken she was not the acting president.

THE COURT: Sustain the objection.

MR. MASON: Let's go to Page 140, please, beginning on Line 4.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q He also says you were a full-throated supporter of Wayne LaPierre's leadership; is that true?
- "A Yes.
- "Q Does that continue to be true today?
- 18 | "A Absolutely.
- "Q Why are you a full-throated supporter for Wayne LaPierre's leadership?
 - "A Having known him for 25 years, his track record has never wavered from his mission, which is my mission, I support.
 - "Q What is the role of the executive vice president of the NRA?

"A That of a CEO. Run the company."

MR. MASON: If we can skip to Page 142, please, beginning on Line 3.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Do you, as the president, have the same authority as Mr. LaPierre?

"A Absolutely no.

"Q And can you describe -- can you explain that a little bit more?

"A Well, it was never -- the NRA was never intended to operate that way. I am an unpaid volunteer and I am infrequently at headquarters, so it just wouldn't work. You have to have a leader who takes care of day-to-day operations.

"Q And in this case, that is Mr. LaPierre?

"A Yes.

"Q And Mr. LaPierre is a compensated employee; is that correct?

"A Correct."

MR. MASON: There is a notation for Meadows' Exhibit 5 that was marked for identification on Page 143. And I'll just note for the record that's already been admitted as Ackerman 38.

Moving down to Page 144, beginning on Line 20. (Deposition read.)

"Q I know this is lengthy. Before I waste your time spending a lot of -- a lot of time reviewing, are you familiar with this letter?

"A Yes.

"Q Okay. So you've seen it before?

"A I don't think I saw all of it, flipping through

here.
"Q And just for the record, it appears to be a

letter dated April 18, 2019 to John Frazer and Charles

Cotton.

"A Correct.

"Q And then it is signed by, in this case, Mr. North
-- by Mr. North and Mr. Childress. Could I ask you a
quick question about Richard Childress? At some point
before this, I think you had indicated that you had
rescinded your signature from some of the letters
dealing with the Brewer law firm. It -- what -- did
you have any knowledge of what Mr. Childress's view of
the invoices of the Brewer law firm was?

"A Yes.

"Q What was his view?

"A He agreed with Colonel North. I did hear him say that."

MR. MASON: And then moving over to Page 147, beginning on Line 13.

(Deposition read.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"O The first paragraph says, as indicated in previous correspondence, we and others continue to be deeply concerned about the extraordinary legal fees for the NR -- the NRA has incurred with Brewer, Attorneys and Counselors. The amount appears to be approximately \$24 million over a 13-month period, \$5 million of which apparently has been reimbursed in connection with the Lockton settlement. If you talk \$24 million over 13 about months, that is approximately a little bit over one year. Did you view \$24 million in legal fees for a period of 13 months to be a substantial amount of money?

"A The question is not correct.

"Q I'm sorry, please -- did I -- if I misstated something, --

"A The letter, that is not a correct quote, \$24 million in a 13-month period.

"Q Okay. Why is -- not correct?

"A Because it was longer.

"Q Could I take you to the second page? It seems to have a chart that describes -- that says, based on information provided to us over a months -- over a month ago by our secretary and general counsel. I assume that is Mr. Frazer?

"A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q The first 12 invoices the NRA received from the Brewer firm were for these amounts. And then it has a chart from March 2018 through February 2019, which would be 12 months, listing the amount for each month, and it totals a little over \$19 million. From your understanding, is that a correct figure?

"A I would say the payment would have been correct.

"Q So that is one year, \$19 million. Was that correct, that it appears that Mr. Brewer was paid \$19 million over the course of one year?

"A Correct.

"Q What is the annual -- annual budget of the NRA, do you know?

"A No.

"Q Is this a significant amount of money?

"A I know, but I can't come up with -- I mean, I just --

"O I understand.

"A Okay.

No.

''A

"Q And that, look, we -- we are asking you to be accurate. So if you can't recall the number, then --

'Q -- that is a completely appropriate answer.

did you view \$19 million over a one-year period as a

substantial amount of money for the NRA?

"A Yes.

"Q If I could take you to the third page under the title, it says second -- it says, we and others have made multiple requests and recommendations for an outside independent review of the Brewer firm's invoices. All of these requests have been denied. The secrecy surrounding these large invoices causes suspicions and raises questions.

And then it goes on to say, on the advice of our board counsel that it was our fiduciary duty to do so, we have made the following requests regarding the Brewer invoices. And then he lists what appear to be a series of requests. When he -- when the letter refers to board counsel, do you know who they are referring to?

"A Steve Hart.

"Q And is this -- were you aware of the fact that Steve Hart had advised Lieutenant Colonel North and Mr. Childress that they had a binding fiduciary duty to make requests regarding the Brewer invoices of NRA leadership?"

MR. NOALL: And Your Honor, this, I raised an objection in my designations that it calls for speculation and potentially hearsay.

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I don't believe it would be hearsay. I believe it would be an admission by a party opponent.

THE COURT: What about speculation?

MR. MASON: Well, I mean, he's asking whether or not he was aware of the -- of that fact. I think he can -- he can answer whether or not he's aware of it or not. I don't think he's speculating. He either -- he either is or he's not aware.

THE COURT: Overruled.

(Deposition read.)

- "A I don't know what he advised them to do. No, he suggested it to me also.
- "Q Mr. Hart suggested that there be an examination of these invoice?
 - "A Yes.

- "Q As described in a latter -- and am I correct based on your previous answers that you did not agree with that?
- "A That is correct.
- "Q Thanks. I don't believe I have other questions on -- maybe I could just ask you a couple of questions. Are you -- do you know how much the Brewer law firm is charging the NRA now on a monthly basis?

 "A I do not.

"Q As the president, are you -- is that something you would like to know or should know?

"A Well, I have been told. I just cannot tell you.

I'm not sure I -- if I recall whether or -- I would be able to tell you that.

"Q So you have been told, but you simply don't recall that amount --

"A Yes.

"Q -- the -- that amount? Do you examine the invoices at all?

"A No."

MR. MASON: Then if we could go to Page 158, please, beginning on Line 1.

(Deposition read.)

"Q So, for example, the description of two hundred and some thousand dollars of expenditures at -- I don't know how to say that -- Ermenegildo Zegna clothing store, I don't know that I have said it -- said that the right way, but that was not of concern to you?

"A No.

"Q And why not?

"A Over a 17-year period, for the clothes that the CEO, the standard-bearer for the NRA, should have, I do not find it excessive.

Meadows - Deposition 38 "O Have you ever been in any kind of employment 1 2 where your employer has paid for your clothing? 3 "A No. 4 "0 Do you think it was appropriate for the NRA to be 5 paying for the clothing for Mr. LaPierre? ''A Under the circumstances, yes." 6 7 MR. MASON: If we can jump to Page --Your Honor and Counsel, may I have just 8 MR. NOALL: 9 one moment? I need to plug my computer in so it doesn't die. 10 I'll just be one second. 11 THE COURT: Sure, Mr. Noall. 12 MR. MASON: And while he's doing that, we'll be 13 going to Page 170 next. 14 MS. TAYLOR: May I ask if my sound is any better? I 15 tried to tweak my settings. 16 THE COURT: From our perspective, I think it's 17 better. 18 MR. MASON: That's the only perspective that 19 matters. 20 (Pause.) 21 MR. NOALL: Thank you, all.

MR. MASON: Okay. Beginning back on Page 170, Line

12.

22

23

24

25

(Deposition read.)

"Q The -- were you at least an -- an ear-witness to

a conversation that Ms. Hallow had with Lieutenant 1 2 Colonel North? 3 ''A Yes. 4 Could you describe the -- what led up to that 5 conversation? ''A 6 Yes. For whatever reason, Wayne LaPierre was 7 using Millie's phone while he was on the phone. He --8 I was there. Actually, he was on the phone. 9 And were you all -- were you all at the -- and 10 where were you all at that point? We were in Wayne LaPierre's suite. We had moved 11 ''A 12 from Ollie's. 13 I see. And could I stop you there for a moment? 14 Between the time that you learned from Millie about 15 the Boren call and now, which is later in the afternoon, had you had any discussions with Mr. 16 17 LaPierre about what had occurred? 18 '' A Yes. 19 What were those discussions with Mr. LaPierre? 20 ''A It was whether or not a coup was coming about, if 21 there would be a vote at the board meeting to remove 22 him, and that it would be wise of us to get in touch

"Q So is this one of the things that you had been doing up until this point, contacting board members to

with board members that would support Wayne.

23

24

Meadows - Deposition 40 1 see if they would support Mr. LaPierre? 2 ''A Yes. I actually asked them to come to an 3 informal meeting. 4 And this would be some board members among the 5 entire 76 that made up the board at the time? ''A 6 Yes. 7 "0 What was Mr. LaPierre's reaction to what he had heard Millie Hallow say about Dan Boren? 8 9 ''A Disappointment. Hurt." 10 MR. MASON: Mr. Noall, I believe we've got Lines 12 11 and 13 designated here. I don't know if that's my error or 12 you all's, but is that -- I assume you're not wanting to read 13 those lines in? 14 MR. NOALL: That was an error, that's correct. 15 MR. MASON: If we could please jump now to Page 189, 16 beginning on Line 9. 17 (Deposition read.) 18 I would like to show you Exhibit 13. So -- and 19 actually, before I ask you to take a close look at 20 that, Ms. Meadows, what did happen after Oliver North 21 -- after the Oliver North phone call on April 24th? 22 What happened at the meeting, as you recall? 23 there a vote by the board directors regarding Mr.

"A No.

LaPierre?

24

41

"Q And why not? Why did that not happen?

- "A It didn't come up.
- "Q Do you know why it didn't come up?
- 4 "A Ollie North forces didn't have the votes and they knew.
 - "Q I'm sorry, the Ollie North what?
 - "A The Ollie North people. Ollie did not have the votes on the board.
- 9 "Q So he did not have enough support on the board to bring this to a vote?
 - "A No, not even close. No.
- "Q And ultimately, Oliver North -- ultimately, Wayne
 LaPierre issued a public statement to the board about
 this, right?
- 15 | "A Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

11

16

17

21

22

23

24

- "Q And ultimately, Oliver North resigned as president?
- 18 | "A He just didn't run again.
- 19 | "Q Why was that, do you know?
- 20 | "A He knew he wouldn't be elected.
 - "Q So at this point, based on what had -- what had -- so at this point, based on what had occurred, he would not have enough support on the board to be reelected president?
 - "A Correct.

"Q And is that because Mr. LaPierre would not be supportive of Mr. North as continuing in another term as president?

"A It really wouldn't have anything to do with

"A It really wouldn't have anything to do with Wayne. The board at this point would not.

"Q Would not have voted in favor of?

"A To support Colonel North.

"Q Okay. Let me ask you -- with that background, let me ask you to take a look at -- so how did it become, then, that you were the lucky person to assume the presidency?

"A I was drafted.

"Q Who drafted you?

"A A committee of board members just sat down with me and said, you are it. In this time, in what is going on, you are our person.

"Q Okay. And was it at that meeting in Indianapolis that you were elected president?

"A Yes."

MR. MASON: If we can go to Page 197, please, beginning on Line 4.

(Deposition read.)

"Q So, just to be clear, do you have any recollection of Colonel North saying that he was acting on behalf of anyone at Ackerman McQueen?

"A He did not say it in --"

MR. NOALL: Your Honor, I object on the basis of hearsay to this question.

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I don't believe that, number one, it is hearsay. Colonel North was the president of the NRA at that time. I understand there's been some testimony about him being an employee of Ackerman as well, but there is absolutely no evidence, and Colonel North testified to this, that he was acting on behalf of or doing anything with respect to being an employee of Ackerman.

And this goes to one of the -- the heart of the issue as to, you know, this extortion phone call and whether, you know, Ackerman was relaying any threats or extortion or coup.

So I think it also has to do with the existing state of mind, to the extent the Court finds it's hearsay. And I think it also has to do with the effect on the listener as well and what actions were taken with respect to this.

THE COURT: At the time that this --

MR. NOALL: And again, --

THE COURT: Could I just ask a question? At the time that this statement was allegedly made, Colonel North left as president of the NRA?

MR. MASON: Colonel North was president of the NRA when this alleged statement was -- let me say it a different way. When the alleged coup was going on, Colonel North was

president of the NRA.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Noall, you were going to say something. I think I stepped on whatever it was.

MR. NOALL: So, Your Honor, no problem. I still object because I don't think it's clear here when the question -- at what time the question was being asked. It wasn't clear from the question. And I don't think it goes to state of mind. I think this is a question of whether or not this just is asking for a hearsay response at any time about the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: Overruled.

(Deposition read.)

"A He did not say it in your words, no.

"Q Okay. Did he ever say in the conversation you overheard that he was acting at the direction or instruction of anybody at Ackerman McQueen?

"A I would say no.

"Q Did he ever say that he had discussed this ultimatum with anybody at Ackerman McQueen?

"A No."

MR. MASON: If we can go to Page 208, Line 21.

This was a counter-designation by the Debtors that is just the answer. So I would say that -- I mean, to the extent that the Debtors would like 21 and 22 read in, I think the original question needs to be read in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it. I don't pull out the credit card belonging to somebody else to pay for it. Why would there be an arrangement that Wayne LaPierre's clothing purchases at an expensive store in Beverly Hills be done through a -- through Ackerman McQueen, as opposed to just directly billing the NRA for it?

Meadows - Deposition 46 1 ''A Because -- I -- I do know. Because Ackerman 2 McQueen, as I said, made the appointment." 3 MR. MASON: Then if we could jump forward to Page 4 223, beginning on Line 10. 5 (Deposition read.) "0 Okay. We have talked a little bit about Millie 6 7 Hallow. How long have you known Ms. Hallow? ''A 8 As best as I remember, since 1990. 9 "O 1990? 10 ''A Yes. 11 Is that when she began working with the NRA or 12 was that before? 13 I don't know that. That was not the capacity I 14 met her in. 15 "Q What capacity did you meet her in? I knew her husband. 16 ''A 17 "O What was her husband -- she has had a couple of 18 husbands. 19 ''A Number four, Ralph Z. Hallow. 20 "Q And how did you know him?

And so you met her independently at the NRA?

21

22

23

24

25

''A

"Q

''A

"Q

''A

Journalist.

Through her husband?

Yes.

Yes.

47

"Q Are you aware of when she began working with the NRA?

- "A In the '90s. I don't know exactly when.
- "Q And is it fair to say you had no involvement in her hiring with the NRA?
 - "A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

16

- "Q Did you ever become aware of the fact that she has been convicted of a felony embezzlement charge here in the District of Columbia?
- 10 | "A Yes.
- 11 | "Q When did you become aware of that?
- "A I'm not sure of the date, but it would have been at least 10 years ago.
 - "Q How did you become aware of that?
- 15 | "A She told me.
 - "Q Are you aware of situations in which her personal expenses were being paid by the NRA?
- 18 | 'A I am now.
- 19 "Q What are you aware of now, if you can tell us?
- 20 "A That she did make a charge on her NRA credit card.
- 22 | "Q Do you know what that charge was for?
- 23 | "A Clothes.
- 24 | "Q And do you know when that occurred?
- 25 | "A I do not.

"Q Do you know if any action has been taken against Ms. Hallow with respect to that personal charge?

"A I do not know. I do not know if any action was taken or was not taken.

"Q Would it have been appropriate to take some action against an employee who charged personal expenses on an NRA charge card?

"A Well, that would have been up to Wayne LaPierre and HR. It is my understanding she paid it back.

"Q Okay. We -- we have talked a little bit about Steve Hart. What was your understanding as to who Steve Hart represented?

"A The 76 board members.

"Q So you under -- so your understanding, he was counsel to the NRA board?

"A Yes.

"Q And not the NRA itself?

"A Do you mean the five million NRA members?

"Q No. So, --

"A What do you mean?

"Q So, for instance, let's talk about John Frazer for a minute. Mr. Frazer is general counsel for the NRA, correct?

"A Correct.

"Q And would Mr. Frazer advise NRA management on

1 | legal issues?

2 | "A Yes.

"Q Would -- so do you view Ms. Hart's role -- Mr. Hart's role as being counsel for the NRA board different than Mr. Frazer's role as counsel for NRA management?

"A I perceive it as being different.

"Q In the sense that Mr. Hart exclusively advised the board on board issues?

"A Yes.

"Q And are -- as a board member, did you feel that you could seek advice from Mr. Hart?

"A Originally yes, but later no."

MR. MASON: Then if we go down to Page 228, beginning on Line 22.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Could you explain about your concern about his loyalty?

"A Toward the end of his employment with NRA, it became apparent to me that he was not looking out for the best interests of NRA, but, in fact, for Ollie North.

"Q What gave you that impression, can you tell us?

"A He talked badly about Brewer. Started talking badly about Wayne.

50

"Q Can you be -- to the extent you are able to, can you be a little bit more specific? What did Mr. Hart say about Mr. Brewer and then what did Mr. Hart say about Mr. LaPierre?

- "A I could, yes.
- "Q Would you?
- "A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

- "Q Thanks.
 - "A He -- although he recommended the Brewer firm to Wayne LaPierre for employment, he, in the later employment of Hart's career, said that he was charging too much and that he thought he should go.
 - "Q Based upon the fact that Mr. Hart came to a similar conclusion as Lieutenant Colonel North that the Brewer firm was charging too much?
 - "A Well, that is what he said, yes.
- 17 | "O What about Mr. LaPierre?
 - "A He, in the last maybe two months before he left, was saying that Wayne should go.
 - "Q Did he say that to you?
 - "A Yes.
- 22 | "Q Did he say why he thought Wayne should go?
- 23 | "A He -- yeah.
- 24 | "Q What did he say?
- 25 | "A That he felt like his time was past and that he

Meadows - Deposition 51 1 should go, and probably other things that I don't 2 remember." 3 MR. MASON: Go over on Page 231, Line 22. 4 (Deposition read.) 5 Did -- was it Mr. LaPierre who decided to terminate Mr. Hart? 6 7 ''A I believe so. "0 Were you consulted? 8 9 "A Yes. 10 "Q And by Mr. LaPierre? 11 ''A Yes. 12 "Q And about terminating Mr. Hart? 13 ''A Yes. Before the decision was made? 14 "0 15 ''A Yes." 16 MR. MASON: And then we'll go to Page 233, beginning 17 on Line 12. 18 (Deposition read.) 19 "Q As the NRA president, current president of the 20 NRA, are you aware of the investigation that's being 21 conducted by the New York State Attorney General with 22 respect to the NRA? 23 "A Yes.

"Q And are you aware of the fact that the NRA has received a number of subpoenas for documents from the

24

New York State Attorney General, correct?

"A Yes.

- "Q And what is your understanding of the nature of that investigation, if you know?
 - "A Harassment.
 - "Q That is what every client says. Do you know what they claim -- do you know what they claim they are investigating?
 - "A Yes.
 - "Q What is it?
- "A It would be having to do with being the (c)(4).
 - "Q So whether you are doing what the rules and regulations -- whether the NRA is doing what the rules and regulations require in order to maintain that 501(c)(4) status?
 - "A Correct."
- MR. MASON: And if we can jump to Page 241, beginning on Line 21.
- 19 | (Deposition read.)
 - "Q What do you know about Chris Cox being part of a Ackerman/North/Boren conspiracy?
 - "A At 4:00 o'clock on April 24th, at the request of Chris Cox, Wayne LaPierre and I met with him and he pretty much parroted what Dan Boren had said earlier in that day and what Ollie North had said. And for

about 25 minutes he was telling Wayne why he should resign and that, if he didn't, everything that he had done in his 38 years at NRA would be smeared in every paper in the country and he has -- his family would be humiliated.

"Q And so that was the -- and so where did Mr. Cox get this information, did he say?

"A What do you mean? What information?

"Q So, when he was providing information in this 25-minute conversation about the bad things that would happen to Wayne LaPierre, where did Mr. Cox obtain the information? Did Mr. Cox say where he obtained the information that he was saying would hurt Mr. LaPierre?

"A He did not say.

"Q But if -- but if that was the same day, he was not fired?

"A He quit.

"Q But he didn't quit until two months later?

"A Are you asking me?

"Q Let me think about it. The -- do you know when

Mr. Cox resigned?

"A I don't know the date, no.

"Q Do you know why Mr. Cox resigned?

"A Because the scheme he had been involved in

```
Meadows - Deposition
                                                              54
         failed."
1
2
              MR. MASON: Page 250, Line 5.
 3
         (Deposition read.)
             And are you aware that Wayne LaPierre flies in a
 4
 5
        private jet?
         "A Yes."
 6
 7
             MR. MASON: Page 252, Line 20.
 8
         (Deposition read.)
 9
             Do you know what the cost of Wayne LaPierre's
10
         security measures cost the NRA?
11
         "A
             No.
12
             Are you aware that over -- that his private jet
13
         travel costs over $1 million per year?
         "A
             Yes."
14
15
             MR. MASON: And then if we can go to Page 259,
16
    beginning on Line 14.
17
         (Deposition read.)
18
              Okay. And you have testified earlier that every
19
        NRA contract greater than $100,000 you have to sign
20
        off on; is that correct?
21
              Two of the three officers --"
         ''A
22
              MR. NOALL: Objection to the form of the question as
23
    being vague and ambiguous.
24
              THE COURT: Overruled.
```

MR. NOALL: With respect to the --

55

THE COURT: Go ahead. Go ahead.

2 | (Deposition read.)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- "A Two of the three officers, president and the two vice presidents, must sign off.
 - "Q Okay. So Mr. LaPierre is one of those officers?
 - "A No. It would be me, first vice president, second vice president. He doesn't sign off on all of them.
- "Q Okay. And who are the other two vice presidents at present?
- "A First vice president, Charles Cotton. Second vice president, Willes, W-I-L-L-E-S, Lee.
- "Q And are they located in the Washington, D.C. area?
 - "A Lee is. Colonel Lee. Alexandria, I think, would be his address. At any rate, he is in Virginia.
 - "O What about Charles Cotton?
- 17 | "A He is Texas, near Houston.
- 18 "Q And so do you sign off on most of the contracts
 19 over \$100,000?
 - "A I have signed off on all of them that has come my way.
- 22 | "Q Generally, all three sign?
- 23 | "A Yes.
- 24 "Q And we have heard testimony that the Brewer law 25 firm has separate contracts for as many as 12

different areas of legal work. Have you signed off on each of those 12 retainer agreements?

"A No."

MR. NOALL: Your Honor, with respect -- we object to this question because it makes a statement, a hearsay statement, what they have heard, and there's nowhere in the record where this statement came from.

MR. MASON: I believe, Your Honor, there's already a bunch of evidence in the record about the various engagements and work that the Brewer firm has handled for the NRA the last few years.

MR. NOALL: But not with regard to this witness on this deposition, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think this question does call for hearsay as asked.

MR. MASON: Go down to Line 12. (Deposition read.)

"Q If -- do the bylaws carve out an exception for retainer agreements for law firms?

"A I -- not that I'm aware of, but that is how it's done."

MR. MASON: Mr. Noall, I believe -- and again, I don't -- this could be an issue on my end or y'all's. I don't know. Do you have an objection to -- because it appears the answer is just starting on 262. Do you have an

objection to reading the question on 261, Line 20 first? I think, for purposes of optional completeness, that would be appropriate.

MR. NOALL: I agree.

MR. MASON: So, on 261, Line 20.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q So what is your understanding of why those would be outside of the regular rules?
- "A Because the EVP does the hiring of law firms and it is -- it is just being done that way. I don't know why. But I do know that it is not carved out. It has a lot of -- those contracts have a lot of eyes on it.
- "Q Okay. And who would those -- who would possess those eyes?
 - "A Craig Spray, the treasurer, and John Frazer, secretary and legal counsel.
 - "Q Anyone else?
- "A Probably, but those I know.
 - "Q What about the hiring of the firm Briglia Hundley? Did you sign off on that retainer agreement?
- "A Did not.
 - "Q And for the same reason: that that is a law firm and that is treated differently by the NRA?
 - "A Correct.
 - "Q Have you had discussions with Craig Spray about

58

legal fees charged by the Brewer law firm?

- "A I have not.
- "Q Have you had discussions with Craig Spray about bills issued by Ackerman McQueen?
 - "A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- "Q Do you have any regular interaction with Mr. Spray?
- 8 | "A No.
- 9 "Q Do you have any interaction with people in the treasurer's office?
- 11 | "A No.
- 12 | "Q And why is that?
 - "A The capacity of president, I would not. Being a member of the Audit Committee, they always report to us. In that capacity, I would."
 - MR. MASON: If we could jump forward to Page 335, please.

18 | (Deposition read.)

- "Q Are you aware that the publicity disclosed -- the publicly-disclosed Form 990 shows Mr. LaPierre's salary is currently over \$2-1/2 million?
- "A I am aware."
- MR. MASON: Your Honor, I believe that concludes the testimony of Ms. Meadows.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Noall, y'all's

portion was read in during that part; is that right?

MR. NOALL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. This is a little bit tedious, a little hard. Could we just take about a five-minutes break, just to -- I think it might do everyone some good. I really appreciate the way you all handled that one, Mr. Mason. That was well done.

MR. MASON: Thank you, Your Honor. Absolutely. So, 2:45, 2:50?

THE COURT: Yes, yes. Just to let our eyes rest for a minute. Okay. We'll be back. We're in recess.

(A recess ensued from 2:41 p.m. until 2:49 p.m.)

THE COURT: Everybody ready to go back on the record? Just a couple of housekeeping things. We had some matters that were set for tomorrow afternoon that are not related to the pending motions. I moved a couple cases because they're contested, I think, kind of later. And there a couple more matters that they are either going to be removed or agreed orders have been submitted, and I just signed one agreed order.

So, Mr. Garman, I think that means that you'll have the afternoon without any other NRA matters at least on the docket.

Second, Mr. Mason, to answer your question about settings for the following week, we have time on the 29th in the

Hallow - Deposition 60 morning for sure, and possibly in the afternoon, too. In the afternoon, we have our standing Chapter 13 docket, so we may have to work around that a little bit. And then we also have all day on the 30th. So that's Thursday and Friday of next week. And we're blocking it out for the NRA right now, those times that aren't taken. All right. Ready to go back on the record, then? MR. MASON: Thank you. Your Honor, Ms. Taylor -we're going to be calling Millie Hallow next, Your Honor, and Ms. Taylor will be --MS. TAYLOR: Hi. I've made some adjustments. MR. MASON: That's better. I can hear you a lot better. MS. TAYLOR: Is it? THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. MASON: May we proceed, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. MILLIE HALLOW, ACKERMAN MCQUEEN, INC.'S WITNESS BY DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS MR. MASON: If we could go to -- start on Page 10,

(Deposition read.)

please, Line 8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q Could you state your full name for the record?

"A Mildred Hallow.

"Q Okay. And you go by Millie; is that right?

"A That is correct.

"Q And there may be an oversight on what I -- I'm going to -- I'm going to ask, what is your educational background?

"A I went to -- graduated from high school, and I went for two years to the University of California at Davis, dropped out, and in subsequent years did summer courses at -- at UCLA when my then-husband was an attorney."

MR. MASON: Then if we can skip to Page 23, please, Line 2.

(Deposition read.)

"Q How did you become an employee of the NRA?

"A At the end of the summer, the CEO, Wayne LaPierre, asked me to come work for him, and I said that I could not because I had signed a teaching contract. And at the end of the year, then he said, well, at the end of the year, please consider coming back to work for us, and I did. And that's what I did."

MR. MASON: Then if we could go to Page 27, please, Line 23.

(Deposition read.)

"Q When you were first hired, who -- was anyone else involved in hiring you other than Mr. LaPierre?

"A Not to my knowledge.

"Q Did you go to work directly for Mr. LaPierre at that time?

"A When I became an employee, I had an office that was within the EVP suite, so yes, I reported directly to him.

"Q And by EVP, you mean the executive vice president; is that right?

"A And CEO. Yes.

"Q Okay. What was your title when you first started?

"A Special assistant.

"Q Special assistant to whom?

"A The EVP.

"Q Okay. And what were your jobs responsibilities at that time?

"A It evolved."

MR. MASON: And if there's no objection, I know there's a large block on the next page, I'm just going to continue reading, if that's okay with the Debtors, just the remainder of Page 28.

MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, I don't believe this was designated.

MR. MASON: Sure. And I don't think it is. But I'm showing on my copy that we did designate beginning on Page

29, Line 1. So if you want us to start reading in the middle of an answer we can do that.

MS. PILATOWICZ: And I have, in what you provided us, 28 through 16 through 30 to 19 was removed. So I'm not seeing anything. The next designation I have from what you sent us started at Page 35.

MR. MASON: You are -- you are absolutely correct.

I apologize. So let's -- we'll skip over that. So if we can go to Page 34, please. Sorry about that. And it appears some of these, we did remove, so there could be some things highlighted in yellow that I will skip. So let's go to Page 35, Line 17, please.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q You mentioned your first title was special assistant to Mr. LaPierre. What was your next title?

 "A I think -- I think it might have been director of external affairs, but I'm not sure.
- "Q Did your office change when you became director of external affairs?
- "A My office changed all the time because there's not enough space on -- on the floor.
- "Q Did your pay change when you became director of external affairs?
- "A I was given increases all along the way. I don't know whether a pay change occurred concurrent with the

se 21	30085-hdh11 Doc 660 Filed 04/21/21 Entered 04/21/21 13:39:24 Page 64 of 12
	Hallow - Deposition 64
1	title change.
2	"Q And who would make the determination of what your
3	compensation would be?
4	"A That would I assume that was discussed with
5	Mr. LaPierre and Mr. Phillips, who was the treasurer
6	at that time.
7	"Q And that's Wilson Phillips who we call Woody
8	Phillips?
9	"A That is correct.
10	"Q Anybody else that you know of that would be
11	involved in compensation decisions for your
12	compensation?
13	"A No."
14	MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 37, please, Line
15	6.
16	(Deposition read.)
17	"Q And when you were offered the position, was there
18	any background check that you had to go through before
19	you were hired?
20	"A I don't know.

"Q Did you have to deal with the -- any personnel

office as far as vetting you for your -- for the

"A I don't remember. I think probably, but I don't

21

22

23

24

25

position?

remember any of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hallow - Deposition 65 "O What you remember is the offer came from Mr. LaPierre and that was all that you needed; is that your understanding? That is correct." ľΑ MR. MASON: Page 39, Line 10. (Deposition read.) Okay. Let me clarify my question. Have you ever been accused of a crime? I -- I was accused of a crime in -- at the D.C. Commission on Art and Humanities. "Q Okay. What was the crime that you were charged with? I was charged with misappropriating funds from the D.C. Commission on Arts and Humanities. "Q And did you plead guilty to that crime? I did. ''A "O What was the amount that you were charged with misappropriating? Around \$22,000 or \$23,000. I -- I do not ''A

remember.

Were you terminated from that position when this was discovered?

''A Effectively. I -- I think I was allowed to resign."

MR. MASON: If we could then go to Page 45, please,

66

1 | Line 15.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Deposition read.)

- "Q What was the reason for the -- I'll call it the embezzlement of the \$23,691 that's referenced in the article?
- "A The reason?
- "Q Yes.
 - "A I'm not quite sure what you're --
- 9 "Q Were you and Mr. Nick Nay (phonetic) in debt?

 10 Did you need the money?
 - "A No. But then I was with Mr. Walters. We did a lot of entertaining that was probably beyond our means, and that is my recollection."
 - MR. MASON: If we could go over to Page 47, beginning on Line 19.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q Okay. How long did it take you to complete the restitution, repaying the \$23,000 to the City?
- "A Four or five years. No. Okay. Four -- four or five years, I would guess.
- "Q And your probation was five years; is that correct?
- "A It was. It was unsupervised. So I don't even remember a notice saying it was over. I never spoke to anybody, I don't think, or that I remember.

67

"Q Do you dispute that the sentence was five years' probation?

"A If that's -- is that what the record shows? I don't dispute whatever the record shows.

"Q When you first started to work with Mr. LaPierre, did you tell him you were on probation?

"A I -- it was a long time after that. I was not.

"Q You were -- you were not on probation in 1994 when you did the book tour; is that right?

"A As a consultant. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q Okay. Did you disclose anything about this criminal history to anyone at the NRA when you were -- during the -- during the '90s?

"A I don't remember. I -- I don't remember.

"Q Did the NRA at some point learn about this criminal guilty plea?

"A I might know this only in a privileged conversation.

"Q When -- when did this conversation take place?

"A I'm not sure. Last -- last summer, perhaps.

"Q Who was present during the conversation?

"A I don't know what to say."

MR. MASON: If we could then go to Page 59, Line 20, please.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Have there been any subsequent discussions with Mr. LaPierre about your guilty plea?

"A No. I have not."

MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 69, please. Line

(Deposition read.)

2.

"Q Okay. Well, let me have you review what will be Exhibit 6."

MR. MASON: And then down to Line 13. (Deposition read.)

"Q If you could take a look at this document, which, for the record, is a fax dated April 23rd, 2018 to Millie Hallow's eyes only, from Norm Partners, LLC, and signed by Oliver North. Let me direct your attention to the point of my last question. On the second page — let me ask the question first. Is this a message that came to you subsequent to that meeting at Colonel North's Dulles office?"

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor, the Debtors have an objection to this line of questioning. It's based on a document that is hearsay.

THE COURT: Which Ackerman exhibit is it, Mr. Mason?

MR. MASON: Your Honor, it's Ackerman Exhibit 177.

It's a memo from Colonel North that was prepared and sent to

Ms. Hallow while he was the president of the NRA.

MS. PILATOWICZ: That's incorrect, Your Honor. It was prior to him becoming the president. It was April of 2018.

MS. MONTGOMERY: Ah, you're -- I stand -- well, that's -- that is correct. He was a board member at the time, though, Your Honor.

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor, the -- first, the witness's next statement is that she hasn't seen the document and she doesn't know what it is. But even further, this wasn't Mr. North acting in any capacity on behalf of the NRA. I believe that what they'll present is it was actually his attempted negotiation with the NOA -- with the NRA.

THE COURT: Anything further on the objection, Mr. Mason?

MR. MASON: Your Honor, Ms. Hallow does testify about a meeting that she had with Colonel North and Mr. LaPierre with respect to the terms of Mr. North's employment with Ackerman McQueen. There's an extensive amount of testimony here, as you can see, relating to those particular discussions and how the agreement would be set up.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.

MR. MASON: And go down to Page 70, beginning on Line 5.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Okay. Let me direct your attention to the second

page."

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor, this is just reading from that document for which you just sustained the objection.

MR. MASON: And Your Honor, if I may, the testimony continues and she's asking whether it refreshed her recollection about a meeting that took place in April of 2018.

THE COURT: Any other response in furtherance of your objection?

MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, I think if he wants to move to the discussions, to the extent that they were -- they contain non-hearsay, we can move on to that, but the portion he's about to read is a quote from the document.

MR. MASON: And I believe it's appropriate in this instance to refresh -- the witness's recollection is simply being refreshed as to the meeting.

THE COURT: I'll let it be used to refresh her recollection only.

(Deposition read.)

"Q Let me direct your attention to the second page. It says Item No. 1, Options. A, Direct employee of AM or MG preferred. B, Fee for service contract between Veritas Productions, LLC and NRA-affiliated entity, AM or MG.

1 Does this refresh your recollection that there 2 was discussions about Ackerman McQueen or Mercury 3 Group during this meeting in April of 2018? 4 I know there were discussions about Ackerman 5 McQueen in subsequent meetings. I don't remember that 6 happening at the very first meeting, but I have to say 7 that I was in and out for some of that time. LaPierre was in another room at Freedom Alliance, and 8 9 she wanted my help on another project, so I was in and 10 out of that meeting. I -- I don't remember that at 11 all in the first meeting. 12 "0 Did you take notes during this meeting? 13 ''A I did not. 14 Did anyone take notes during the meeting that you 15 know of? 16 I'm trying to envision it. I don't -- it was so 17 preliminary, I don't think anybody did. 18 Did -- did Wayne LaPierre have his yellow pad 19 with him? 20 ''A I don't think he did, but I'm not -- I'm not 21 sure. But it -- it was more a conversation, is my 22 recollection. 23 Do you recall a conversation involving some way 24 to replace the loss of income that Colonel North would

have if he came -- became president of the NRA?

"A I don't remember that.

"Q Do you recall any discussion that Colonel North would have to leave his position at Fox if he were to become president at the NRA?

"A I -- I do remember a discussion of that and how Colonel North wanted to make sure that he did not start conversations with the Fox people if this thing might not happen and that he really needed to think about it and that he wanted to have a full discussion with Mr. Sullivan, who is a longtime legal, you know, the Brendan Sullivan person. That's I think why I remember it just as a very general kind of overture meeting, but I must say I can't -- I can't remember. I remember that, but I just -- it was kind of easing in, you know. If this happened, I don't -- I don't recall it, or it happened when I was out of the room in terms of talking about logistics of stuff, and my recollection is that it was much later. Admittedly, I'm foggy on it."

MR. MASON: Okay. And so further down Page 2, where it says --

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor? Your Honor, this is continuing to read from the document that was excluded by the Court.

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I don't have any objection

Hallow - Deposition

-- well, I would be happy just asking the second part of that question that I don't believe is reading from that document.

And I don't believe calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: So, starting with the word "You"? At the end of that line?

MR. MASON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I sustain the hearsay objection, but you can start with the "You" part.

(Deposition read.)

"Q You don't recall any conversations about compensation for Mr. -- for Colonel North during this meeting?

"A On this, I'm fairly sure that didn't happen.

Again, that doesn't -- that doesn't comport with what

I remember about the first meeting.

"Q Because you were in and out of the room?

"A Yes. But, again, my recollection of the first meeting was that it was not nitty-gritty. There was no money or anything like that discussed. It was rather more how would the board feel if this happened? You know, what is the timing? What do other officers think? It was -- it was that kind of conversation. That is my recollection.

"Q Was there the discussion about why Pete Brownell could no longer be president of the NRA?

1 ''A I'm sure that must have been part of the context 2 of why Mr. LaPierre was asking if Ollie was 3 interested. I don't remember that it was, but I think 4 it would have had to be part of the conversation. 5 Was there a discussion about the problem that Mr. 6 Brownell had with his trip to Moscow or Russia? 7 ''A Actually, I don't think so. I don't think that 8 came up. 9 "O Okay. How long was the meeting? 10 Hour and a half. ''A It wasn't that long. And I 11 remember it wasn't that long because I think this was 12 squeezed within a time frame where Colonel North had 13 to -- had a speaking engagement. Mr. LaPierre had -they had commitments, and this was a time that they 14 15 could make it work. 16 Do you have any recollection of a position at 17 Ackerman McQueen being discussed in that meeting? 18 Not in this first meeting. 19 Is it possible that this fax dated April 23rd 20 relates to some other meeting, a second meeting? That 21 you're -- you're describing a different than what was 22 -- than what's discussed in these deal points? 23 ''A I -- anything is possible. I wasn't in all of 24 not meetings, and I was in any of

25

negotiations.

"Q Did you have any discussions with Wayne LaPierre subsequent to the meeting about making Colonel North president of the NRA?

"A He was -- Mr. LaPierre was pretty excited that Ollie was willing to consider it. And when he said affirmatively he would do it, they had been friends for a number of years and it was a very up moment.

"Q And that up moment was during the meeting?

"A The first meeting? The first meeting, the up moment was Wayne. At the end of the meeting, and we're getting in our respective cars, Wayne said, he didn't say no.

"Q What was the next meeting you're aware of between Mr. LaPierre and Colonel North about him becoming president of the NRA?

"A I don't know. So this -- the -- the annual meeting was coming up pretty quickly, and there was a bunch -- there was a bunch of meetings. I was not a part of a lot of them simply because it was not in my area if it was figuring stuff out. I tend to be event-related. But there were meetings after, and they -- what I'm envisioning is that the meetings -- because it had to be very quiet. We didn't want people to know that Ollie -- Colonel North was being considered. And it was a very limited group of people

going into the convention of Dallas that knew. So, probably there were no meetings at NRA headquarters.

But I don't know. I just -- I don't know. Things were moving past, and I -- there were meetings, but I don't remember anything of significance. If -- ask me, but I -- it just sort of moved."

MR. MASON: If we could jump now to Page 89, please, beginning on Line 21.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q Did you ever hear Mr. LaPierre refer to Tony Makris as his brother?
- "A They both referred to each other as brothers.
- "Q Do you know why that is?
 - "A They have a relationship of long duration, where they both socialized and did Second Amendment work together. It was a -- it was a full relationship."
- MR. MASON: If we could jump now to Page 132, please, beginning on Line 20.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q Who handles Mr. LaPierre's travel arrangements?
- "A The -- his flight travel and ground travel?
- "Q Yes.

"A Local, it would be Mercury Group, usually, through Nader. For outside, the air transportation, it would be a woman named Gail Stanford. Or Sanford.

I always get it wrong.

"Q Stanford?

- "A Stanford.
- "Q And how long has he been -- and how long has he worked with Gail Stanford?
- "A I first heard of Gail, my recollection is that she was -- she was previously doing some travel scheduling for Angus McQueen, and when Wayne did his first book, Wayne LaPierre did his first book tour that I was involved in that summer, I have the impression that that's when she first began to do -- she did all the travel for Mr. LaPierre, Mr. Schropp, and Ms. Simony (phonetic). And did the car rentals and all of that. That is -- I have the impression that that was her first intensive stuff with Mr. LaPierre.
- "Q And do you work with Gail Stanford to coordinate the details of his travel, letting her know where he needs to be at certain times?
- "A If it was an event that -- where the event itself, where I have been involved in and I know the schedule, he could say to Ms. Stanford, Millie knows all the details, let her tell you what time I need to arrive and what room it's in. If I'm traveling with him, then -- then the same thing. But if it's not

Hallow - Deposition

something I'm involved in, increasingly, Wayne's travel person was Nader Tavangar, and Ms. Stanford would deal directly with him and the security person that Wayne now has to have with him at all times.

"Q Who is that security person?

"A His name is Chris Kinney, K-I-N-N-E-Y.

"Q And is he the person who recommended that Mr. LaPierre use private jets?

"A That's the recommendation -- that recommendation came not from Wayne's personal security but our -- our NRA security body, which interacts with local and federal threat-type people.

"Q And who is the person in charge of that?

"A His name is Jim Staples, S-T-A-P-L-E-S."

MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 137, please, Line

4.

(Deposition read.)

"Q How often would you deal with Ms. Stanford?

"A I -- not -- not -- that first year that I was the consultant, I would talk to her on the phone every day to make sure flights weren't late. I never actually met her for two years. It was a phone kind of conversation.

"Q Did Ms. Stanford arrange for travel accommodations at your son's wedding?

Hallow - Deposition

"A She -- she did make -- she did do the arrangements for the buses from the wedding.

- "Q And where was your son's wedding?
- "A It was in -- north of Minnesota.

- "Q And did the NRA pay for that arrangement?
- "A The NRA -- Ms. Stanford -- what the emails show is that there was a bill, and Lisa told -- Supernaugh told me it needed to be reimbursed. And as far as I know, that was done.
- "Q My question was -- I'm not asking what the email showed. Did the NRA pay the Stanford invoice for your son's wedding transportation?
- "A I don't remember this, but I think -- I do remember arranging it with Gail. I do not have any clarity where she and I discussed bill it to the NRA and I'll reimburse, but that is what happened. At -- that's what happened."

MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 146, please, Line 11.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q Do you have any memory as to anyone, Woody Phillips, authorizing that the NRA would pay the transportation expenses for your son's wedding in advance?
- "A I have no memory of that. I'm not saying yes or

Hallow - Deposition 80 I just don't have a memory of that. I've read this now through several times. Is it your testimony today under oath that the NRA did not pay for your son's wedding or any part of I'm saying I have no memory of any of these things, with the exception of transportation, and I do remember that. And -- and I am saying that no one at the NRA ever discussed any of this with me for me to -- it triggers no memory. And --Lisa

"O You don't recall any discussions with Supernaugh about this?

None. Nor Mr. Powell."

MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 150, please, Line

(Deposition read.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.

it?

Are you aware of any review of your personal expenses at the NRA during this time period of 2017-2018?

- ''A Not that they said to me, that anyone said to me.
- Have you ever been told to reimburse the NRA for inappropriate expenditures you made on your expense account?
- During this time? Like for what? I mean, --''A

" O It seems to me that that would be something that Hallow - Deposition

would be readily accessible if you were told that you were misusing an NRA expense account.

- "A Not during this time.
- "Q What about an earlier time?
- "A What time frame?

- "Q During your entire tenure at the NRA.
- "A There -- there was a period of time in the early 2000s where there -- there was a review, and it was reviewed by the board and appropriate committees, where there were some inappropriate expenses that I paid back.
- "Q What were the nature of those inappropriate expenses?
- "A Primarily clothing, and some travel that ended up being personal rather than business, is my recollection.
- "Q How much did you have to pay back?
- "A I'm -- I am not sure. In the process of looking for that now. It was so long ago. I'm not sure."
- MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 161, please, beginning on Line 13.

(Deposition read.)

"Q I want to dig into the facts leading up to the phone calls that you had with Oliver North and with Dan Boren. Excuse me.

Hallow - Deposition

"A Yes, sir.

"Q That was on a Friday; is that correct?

"A No. It was on a Wednesday.

"Q It was on a Wednesday?

"A Yes.

"Q The 24th. Is that right?

"A I -- if Wednesday was the 24th, yes. It was -- it was surrounding that -- the officers meeting.

"Q Did you receive a call from Dan Boren that morning?

"A I received a number of calls from Mr. Boren on Wednesday morning, which I did not return because I didn't recognize the number or the area code. And when I don't, I want them to leave a message so I know how to -- then I would call them back."

MR. MASON: If we could then go to Page 165, please, Line 7.

(Deposition read.)

"Q So what was -- what was your impression from that text that you got from Dan Boren?

"A We were just beginning the officers meeting, and I had never had any dealings with Mr. Boren at all. He didn't attend the board meetings that -- that often, and our paths just never crossed.

So I was surprised he was calling me, as opposed

to somebody else in the room. And I said to the group of officers that were there, Dan Boren has just texted me and said I need to speak to him right away, and everybody said, well, go talk to him. So I stepped out of the dining room into the living room area to return the phone call.

- "Q And did the text say that Dan Boren wanted to talk to Wayne LaPierre?
- "A No. He said he wanted to -- the text said that he needed to talk to me.
- "Q Okay. So did you talk to him then?
- "A I did.

- "Q All right. Before we look at the notes, I just want to make sure you have -- do you have any recollection of your phone call with Dan Boren without looking at your notes?
- "A I -- I have a general recollection.
- "Q What is your recollection of that conversation?
 - "A One, I was surprised. One, I was surprised that he was calling me because I didn't know him and I -- when he called me, I said, I need to step out. He said, I know you're in an officers meeting. And then -- and then, boom. Without getting into -- I don't want to mischaracterize, but I felt like I was being punched in the stomach, because basically he said is

there are bad allegations coming out and that -- and that unless Wayne resigned -- and I said something. I said, well, no. And he said, I'll try to buy some time.

And then I don't remember whether he said or I said let me get this back into the meeting, the officers meeting, or something, or get to Wayne. And that's what I generally remember.

I had no inkling, nor had, if anybody else had any inkling, they hadn't said anything to me that something like this was in the works or could happen. Nobody hinted at it. And everybody was sort of milling around in terms of listening. And that was just — it was very upsetting to me personally, and I was upset when I came back to tell the group.

"Q And what did you tell the group?

"A I -- I hadn't taken notes because I didn't know it was going to be that kind of conversation, but what I tried to do was that I was telling the group my conversation with Dan Boren, I tried to write things, because I knew that I would -- I would forget. So I'm writing and telling them at the same time. Lawsuit. Ackerman McQueen. Wayne resignation. There were some specifics I don't remember in my notes. Maybe financial stuff. I don't remember, because I don't

want to get it mixed up with my conversation with Colonel North. And if I don't look at my notes, I'll get them mixed up.

But when I came back to tell the group what had happened, because they were all waiting for me, Wayne was just very upset. Said an expletive and left the room. Left. And I -- who was left in the room was Carolyn, was President Ollie North, First Vice President, Richard Childress, Second Vice President Carolyn Meadows, Nick Perrine, the staff to the president, and myself.

And I said, this is the first time that I've heard anything about Wayne resigning. And I said to -- after Wayne left, I said to Mr. Childress, do you want Wayne to resign? And he said, every man -- every man has his prime and every man has his time. And I -- I had no idea that Richard Childress wasn't supportive of Wayne.

By -- by this time, I'm crying, and I say to Ollie, President North, do you want Wayne to resign? And he said, not today. And I'm really crying by then. Ms. Meadows, she was really tough about how could this come about to both Mr. Childress and Mr. North. I was kind of just a mess. I don't remember much of their conversation, except that she was -- she

was really good. She didn't fall apart like I did.

Then, a few moments later, they all got up to go to the second half of the officers meeting in another room that involved only -- involved not only the three elected officers but also the officer of ILA, Chris Cox; Officer of General Operations, Joe De Bergalis; Tyler Schropp, Director of Advancement; and Doug -- I can't remember his last name -- of the -- Director of Publications.

That broader officer meeting is always scheduled after the smaller one so that everybody can say what they know leading up to the annual meeting. So they left and I went back up to Mr. LaPierre's suite, where he was.

- "Q Okay. Let me ask you a few questions about that.

 And I apologize for --
- "A Can I -- do you want -- could I see my notes?
- "Q In good time we'll get there.
- "A Okay.

- "Q I need to get impressions first and test how much you remember of the situation.
- "A I'm -- okay.
- "Q But you mentioned that Wayne said an expletive and left the room. I apologize, but can you tell us what expletive he said? You could spell it out if

you'd like.

"A He said -- he said, that son of a bitch, Angus McQueen.

"Q Okay. And do you know why he was blaming Angus McQueen? Is that something you said about Angus McQueen?

"A I'm assuming because what I came back to tell the group from what Dan Boren told me was that Ackerman McQueen was going to instigate a lawsuit with all kinds of negative allegations about Wayne.

"Q Was it your understanding that Wayne LaPierre was surprised that -- that there would be a counterclaim to a lawsuit that they -- that the NRA had filed against Ackerman McQueen?

"A I -- he was -- he was very angry. I was surprised. But I can't characterize -- I think that -- I think my interpretation that the overwhelming reaction that Wayne had was anger, because he -- he doesn't curse.

MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, I think we skipped a -I think we skipped a counter-designation up at 171. It was
171, Lines 3 to 18.

MR. MASON: I don't know if that was counter-designated. But give me one second. I'm fine with reading that -- reading that in. So let's go back to 171, Line 3.

(Deposition read.)

- "Q You were aware that the NRA had already initiated a lawsuit against Ackerman McQueen, weren't you?
- "A I -- I knew about it. My recollection is that -- and I could be wrong -- my recollection is that it had to do with simply requesting the information that other vendors had provided in order to be in anticipatory compliance with New York not-for-profit law.
- "Q And who told you that -- and who told you that understanding of that litigation?
- "A Probably Mr. LaPierre, in talking to board members, and I was in the room, who were inquiring. That's why I say -- because it wasn't a conversation that I had with him. I was in the room and that's, I believe, what was said."
- MR. MASON: And if we could jump forward to Page 173, Line 8.
 - (Deposition read.)
 - "Q Did Wayne LaPierre refer to Mr. McQueen as his friend?
 - "A Very much so. Very much so.
 - "Q So what did Dan Boren say precisely about -- that you remember about Ackerman McQueen in that conversation?

"A That Ackerman McQueen was going to file a lawsuit and they had -- there were a number of things that they were going to say that would reflect negatively on Wayne and the Association. And I -- I can't remember whether there was any itemization of anything the way there was in the conversation with President North. I just don't remember.

"Q Did you interpret what he was saying as a warning?

"A I interpreted it as the real thing, not a

warning.
"Q Okay. Did you have the impression that Dan Boren

"A I -- I didn't have that impression at all."

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor, I apologize for interrupting. This is a spot where it looks like Ackerman, in its designations, has both removed it and kept it in on the -- on the information they provided to us. So I think that 175-2 through Line 12 needs to be read in.

THE COURT: Any problem with that, Mr. Mason?

MR. MASON: I'm fine with that, Your Honor. So we'll go back to Page 175, beginning on Line 2.

(Deposition read.)

was making a threat?

"Q Okay.

"A I -- I don't -- my memory -- I must have

something in my notes. My sense is that, oh my God, what -- I didn't think of it as, oh, strike one. I thought of it as the real deal.

"Q And what do you mean by the real thing and the real deal?

"A That it was not a warning. It was an action that will be taken unless the Association and Mr. LaPierre do something or say something to deter this process which Dan Boren told me has begun.

"Q Okay. Did you have the impression that Dan Boren was making a threat?

"A I -- I didn't have that impression at all. It wasn't -- it wasn't Millie. I mean, it was a cordial conversation with a man that I didn't know who I thought was trying to be helpful by giving this information to the board, to those officers of the board. I assumed that he knew from somewhere that the board -- those officers would be meeting, because he referred to that several times, and I -- other than being -- felt I -- I was grateful that he called to give a heads up, if I had to characterize. He wasn't -- he wasn't threatening at all in his tone to me, or in the way that he characterized the substance."

MR. MASON: If we could go to Page 179. And this was something that I discussed with Debtors' counsel earlier.

I'm fine with withdrawing this designation based on our conversation earlier. Is that --

MS. PILATOWICZ: The Debtors have no objection.

MR. MASON: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. It will be withdrawn.

MR. MASON: If we could go down to Page 180,

beginning on Line 21.

(Deposition read.)

"Q All right. So walk me through what you recall about the -- receiving the phone call from Oliver North.

"A I had been on the phone with another board member, Ken Blackwell. And he wanted to talk to Wayne. So I gave Wayne my phone so he could continue to talk to Mr. Blackwell. And while Wayne was on the phone to Mr. Blackwell, Mr. North was calling me on my phone and Mr. LaPierre held my phone up so that I could see that President North was calling me. And Carolyn Meadows happened to be standing by and she wasn't on her phone, so I took her phone to call Colonel North back, and that's how the conversation came about.

"Q Okay. So what was in that conversation?

"A Just sort of -- I have really good notes, because at some -- we talked a bit, and we said -- he said --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think he said something like Dan Boren read me the whole Ackerman McQueen letter. And I said, do you have a copy? I can come down and make a copy. And he said no, I don't want anything with Ackerman letterhead, he said. But let me -- please get this message to Wayne.

And so I went to get a -- get a notepad. to get a notepad, and I was sitting in the bedroom, like on a chaise lounge, and had the notepad on my knees, and put it on speaker, because there were more people that were close by, so that I could take notes with both hands. And as a result, Ms. Meadows heard at least some of the conversation. And he said, gosh, financial, the contents of this document were financial mismanagement accusations, sexual harassment charges against Josh Powell, clothing expenditures by Wayne. And he might have said a number, but I didn't write it down in my notes. So I wasn't aware of the number until it came out in the papers. That's one, Something about two, three. Tyler Schropp and something about an apartment for Wayne or Susan's niece.

"Q Did it seem that he was reading from a document?

"A I could not -- I could not tell. He said he didn't have a copy of the document, and I believe him.

So it must have been from his own notes, because I think he would have otherwise let me come down and get a copy otherwise.

"Q Did he say where he got the information from?

"A He said Dan Boren. I think he said Dan Boren called. But then it sounded like he saw a copy but didn't keep a copy. But I don't know.

"Q Did you interpret the information he was giving you as a threat?

"A I -- I was glad that Ollie was telling -- that had gotten more detail, because I had never heard President North say, in my presence, a negative word about Mr. LaPierre. He didn't like some people, but he was, in my presence, always complimentary of Wayne.

"Q So it's your impression that he was just passing on information that he received?

"A That's not the end of the conversation. I'm taking notes, and then he said to me, and I wrote it down precisely because it was important, he said something to the effect of, but if I, Ollie, am still president, I can make this all go away and help Wayne, which is all I've ever wanted to do. Something reassuring like that. And I, Ollie, can talk to Angus McQueen and we can find -- I don't know if the verb was find -- I wrote it down -- find -- the phrase was,

quote, get x months for Wayne.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's sort of when I again started to cry, because that meant to me that Mr. North did not want Wayne LaPierre to remain, because x months is months, and something about get x months and then get him an excellent retirement.

And then I was getting up, because I was just very upset and was starting to walk to Wayne, and he said, get this to Wayne as quickly as possible. might have said that he's in the other room, but I certainly said, I will. And then he said, but don't give it to him when -- something like Josh and Bill I have really good notes. And I went are around. directly from writing those notes and read them aloud to Wayne, with a number of people around him listening to my telling it to him. But I didn't -- I did not stop, and I think that -- I think Ms. Meadows -- I don't know if somebody else, maybe Ms. Froman or Mr. Bach, they certainly knew. Some people in the room I think knew I was talking to Mr. North. Ms. Meadows did because she was listening in to the conversation while I was on the speakerphone. They know I got up from that conversation and went directly to talk to Wayne.

"Q Who was Josh and Bill that you refer to?

1 ''A I interpreted it to mean Josh Powell and Bill 2 Brewer. 3 So were they around when you reported this to Mr. 4 -- were they around when you reported this to Wayne 5 LaPierre? 6 They were certainly around before and after, and 7 may have been during, but they were in and out all the 8 So I don't know if they were actually in the 9 room when I told it to Wayne. If they were not, they 10 were shortly thereafter. I just -- I can't -- I can't 11 visualize it. 12 Do you think that you would have -- do you think 13 that you would have done something that -- that Oliver 14 North expressly asked you not to do and report this to 15 Wayne in front of them? 16 Not -- as to whether I would have done it, I 17 think I was so rattled and so upset I wanted to get it 18 directly to Wayne, and he was in the next room. 19 would lead me to believe that maybe they weren't in 20 the room, but I don't know. I just wanted to get it 21 to him, and Ollie encouraged me to do it ASAP. But I 22 don't know if -- that's what I did. **"**O 23 How did Wayne LaPierre react to your message?

"A I don't remember his reaction. But Ms. Froman and Mr. Bach, who are board members and also lawyers

24

"A Never."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, I believe that the next designation was Page 188.

(Pause.)

MS. PILATOWICZ: What we have from Ackerman starts at 188, Line 21.

MS. TAYLOR: I believe there was a typo in the The intent was to remove 188-21 through 197-16, and then only include 197-17 through 19. And then it jumps down to the next line, also removing 197-20 through 25.

MS. PILATOWICZ: Yeah, Your Honor, it does look like at one point it says it's removed and at one point it stayed in. So we would request that 188, Line 21 through 197, Line -- yeah, through 197-25, be read into the record.

THE COURT: And that was in previously by Ackerman; is that right?

MS. PILATOWICZ: That's correct, Your Honor. It was also noted in the designations that they gave us yesterday as being kept in. But I -- I see now there's an inconsistency and it says it's removed at one place and kept in at another.

```
Hallow - Deposition
                                                              97
1
                         Mr. Mason?
              THE COURT:
2
             MR. MASON: So the Debtors want -- well, if -- I
 3
    mean, if -- it looks like there is an inconsistency, and I
 4
    think our intent was to remove it, especially because it's 11
 5
    pages. But based on the Court's --
              MS. PILATOWICZ: I --
 6
 7
             MR. MASON: -- prior ruling, if we need to read the
 8
    11 pages in, we can do that.
 9
              THE COURT: Yeah. I think you need to, just for
10
    trying to be consistent.
11
              MR. MASON: Okay. So let's go, then, to 188, Line
12
    21.
13
              THE COURT: For my reference, what's Hallow Exhibit
14
    15 for your exhibits, Mr. Mason?
15
              MR. MASON:
                         I believe that is NRA Exhibit 261.
16
              THE COURT: Thank you.
17
             MS. PILATOWICZ: That's correct, Your Honor, and we
18
    would move for its admission with this portion of the
19
    testimony.
20
              THE COURT: Let me get it up.
21
              MR. MASON: Your Honor, I would object based on
22
    hearsay to that particular document.
23
              MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, I believe that the
24
    Court --
25
             MR. MASON: There are -- there are -- I think one of
```

the other issues with that, with those notes, is that -- that the notes, again, implicate numerous conversation -- or, the conversation that Ms. Hallow had with Colonel North, who talked at length about what was discussed with Ms. Hallow in the -- in her telephone conversation. But I know, based on Your Honor's prior ruling, Mr. North's testimony on that issue is not permitted at this time.

THE COURT: Was North president at the time?

MR. MASON: Was North president? He was -- he was

MR. MASON: Was North president? He was -- he was president at the time of the conversation with Ms. Hallow. That is -- that is correct.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. MASON: And to the extent that brings up -- and I don't want to open a can of worms -- but to the -- a large portion of Colonel North's deposition testimony that we did attempt to designate was while he was the acting president of the NRA.

THE COURT: Well, I've already ruled on his and I'm not going to revisit that. I'm going to admit the exhibit.

MS. PILATOWICZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's, for the record, NRA 261, which is referred to in this portion of the deposition as Hallow Exhibit 15.

(Debtor's Exhibit 261 is received into evidence.)

MR. MASON: So, beginning on Page 188, Line 24.

(Deposition read.)

"Q All right. First, I want to ask handwriting questions. Some of these notes are yours and some of them are not; is that correct?"

"A This cover sheet is. I think it's Andra Fisher. Because somebody, it might have been Mr. Brewer, asked me where my notes were, and I believe I gave them to -- maybe it was during Indianapolis. I gave them to Andra Fisher and said please hold this so that it doesn't get lost. Then somebody from the Brewer firm -- law firm came and collected them from Andra.

"Q Okay. How about the second page? Whose handwriting is that?

"A That is -- that is a shrunken version of my notes. Notes for Ollie's call, Mr. North's call.

"Q Okay. And the third page, whose handwriting is that?

"A That is my handwriting. And evidently, it was on the other side of the sheet, but it doesn't relate to the Oliver North call.

"Q Okay. We'll get back to the substance. I'll go to the next page. Whose handwriting is that?

"A That is my handwriting as I was telling to the officers the Dan Boren conversation.

"Q Okay. And on the next page, whose handwriting is

| that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- "A That is not my handwriting, and I'm not familiar with this.
- "Q Could that be Carolyn Meadows's handwriting?
- "A I don't know.
 - "Q Okay. Let's look at the next page, then, with the big X across it. Whose handwriting is that?
 - "A I don't know. It's not my handwriting.
 - "Q And the next page, whose handwriting is that?
 - "A I don't know. It's not my handwriting.
- "Q Okay. Did you provide all these to Andra Fisher or only your notes to Andra Fisher?
 - "A The notes that were my notes, upon instruction from -- instruction from Brewer, were given to Andra Fisher.
 - "Q Okay. Let's go to the first one in time, the -your notes of the Dan Boren call. That's the fourth
 page of the exhibit.
- - "Q What does -- can you read it to me? What's the top thing? I don't understand that top line.
- 22 | "A On the right-hand side?
- 23 | "Q Yes.
- "A It says Eling (phonetic) after 5:00 o'clock.
- 25 | She's a board member. Eling knew. And I just had

noted, totally not related to this, that she wasn't coming in until 5:00 o'clock.

"Q And what are the arrows on the left side of the top page?

"A That is my handwriting. I don't -- I might have been -- I don't know. It's not related to the Boren call.

"Q Okay.

"A It's just a piece of paper I had.

"Q So then you start your notes on the Boren conversation right below, where it says Boren Conversation.

"A Yes. I think -- yes. At first, I think I wrote down the text. Right now I know you are going into a meeting and I think I still -- I think I was told to take a picture of the text, so that exists somewhere.

"Q I see. And so was this note prepared during the Dan Boren call?

"A I -- I didn't take notes because I didn't know that -- it was a fairly quick phone call, but I -- when I went back to tell the officers what happened, I wrote as I was telling them. I know you're officers. I have to tell. I have intel that in the next two to three hours Ackerman McQueen will file a lawsuit that will have negative implications and impact for Wayne.

"Q Okay.

"A And I -- and that's all I wrote because what was the uppermost in my mind was this immediate resignation of Wayne. I might have blurted that out in the beginning. I can't -- either the beginning or the end of the conversation. I can't --

"Q Okay. So where in your notes does it talk about immediate resignation?

"A I -- I have a fuller version in my typed-up notes when that -- I can't remember. I remembered it at the time, even though it's not noted because it was still fresh, but --

"Q You did the handwritten notes before you did the typed-up version; is that correct?

"A Yes.

"Q So aren't the handwritten notes fresher than the typewritten notes?

"A But they were -- the handwritten notes are fresher to the conversation, but they're not fuller than what I was able to recall when I was crazed with getting the information out as quickly as possible to the officers who were waiting.

"Q Okay. But is the -- what's in your -- what's in the handwritten notes? Is that what you told Wayne LaPierre following the Dan Boren conversation?

''A 1 The handwritten notes is what I told Wayne 2 LaPierre, Oliver North, Richard Childress, and Carolyn 3 They were just past a sliding wall. 4 Okay. And so -- and you told Wayne LaPierre, I 5 have intel that in the next two to three hours --''A Dan Boren told. 6 7 "0 Dan Boren told you this, but this is what you told Wayne LaPierre, that Dan said, I have intel in 8 9 the next two to three hours that Ackerman McQueen will 10 file a lawsuit that will have negative implications, 11 negative? Is that implications and impact for Wayne? 12 Is that --13 ''A Yes. 14 So after he heard that statement, he said, that 15 son of a bitch, Angus? 16 Because I said that they wanted his -- Dan also 17 told me that they wanted his immediate -- this could 18 be put away if they got -- if Wayne immediately 19 resigned. 20 "Q So that's -- that's something that's not in your 21 notes, but you told him that? 22 ''A Yes. Not in my handwritten notes. 23

"0 Okay.

24

25

''A And then not -- also not in my handwritten notes is Mr. Boren said, I will try to see if I can postpone

1 | for a couple of hours.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

- "Q Okay. Now, was Froman and Bach there when you told them about this, the Dan Boren Call?
- "A No. It was only the officers.
- "Q Okay. Let's go to the Oliver North notes on the second page. And were these notes taken while you were on the call?
- "A Yes. Yes.
- "Q Okay. And it looks like -- can you read that on the record?
- 11 | "A Which part?
- 12 "Q Wherever it starts and wherever to -- to wherever 13 it goes.
 - "A In my written notes, there's a top of -- there's conversation with Ollie where he said, I got a call from Dan Boren and I have more details.
 - "Q No. I'm just asking you to read what's in your handwritten notes.
 - "A Oh, just read it straight through?
- 20 | "Q Right.
- 21 | "A From the -- from the top?
- 22 | "Q Yes.
- "A Ollie called me. I called him on CM phone. And then I wrote Dan, Ollie, 2:58 p.m. Devastating account of financial status. Sexual harassment,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

105

meaning Josh. Wayne/quoting. Schropp. Half-million luxurious travel, much but not all reimbursed by NRA. Apartment for -- apartment for Susan, niece. And then up at the top, I put Allen, immediate resignation of Wayne. Ollie willing -- Ollie willing to negotiate x months for Wayne and something -- not something -retirement excellent on top. Oh, not -- not negotiate. To negotiate X months for Wayne, excellent retirement. And then up in this corner, not give -it's something, not it -- not something -- not share -- not share of Wayne with Josh and Bill. "0 And that's the end of that note on the call? ''A That's the end that's relevant to the call, yeah. "0 And is this the notes that you used to convey that information to Wayne LaPierre? ''A Yes. And was there discussion you had with Oliver North about Ackerman McQueen in this call? That he, Ollie, if was still president, was

willing to negotiate with Angus McQueen and try to get x months for Wayne with an excellent retirement.

"Q Okay. Did it sound like Oliver North was trying to solve the problem?

"A I -- I -- I thought he was, until I heard x months.

"O And what is it about x months that means -- that 1 2 means you think he was not trying to solve the 3 problem? 4 It's because I think in terms of Wayne as the 5 leadership of the NRA in terms of years, not months, 6 and I just was -- I -- I was shocked at that. 7 "0 Were you concerned that if Wayne LaPierre left, your job -- your job was in jeopardy? 8 9 That didn't cross my mind. ''A 10 So if he hadn't said, willing to negotiate x "O 11 months, you would have thought Oliver North was trying 12 to help the situation; is that right? That's kind of a hypothetical, isn't it, now? 13 14 "0 Did Oliver North ever tell you that he 15 wanted Wayne LaPierre to resign? 16 ''A Never. 17 "O Okay. Have you reviewed Oliver North's 18 deposition transcript in this case? 19 ''A I have not. 20 "Q Do you have an understanding of what he testified to about this conversation? 21 22 "A I have no idea."

> MR. MASON: Can we go to Page 199, Line 21? (Deposition read.)

23

24

"O And there's something in all caps on the third 1 2 page of this exhibit. 3 "A Yes. 4 It says, this will all be withdrawn with the 5 immediate resignation of Wayne. Do you see that? ''A 6 Yes. 7 And that's not in the -- and that's not in your -- that's not the way it's written in your handwritten 8 9 notes; is that right? 10 my handwritten notes, it says ''A In immediate 11 resignation of Wayne. So that's right. But that is 12 what he said. 13 So it says immediate resignation of Wayne. 14 Window is short. Right? 15 ''A Yes. 16 Now, so is it your understanding that Oliver 17 North could actually state with confidence that the 18 new suit, whatever that would be, by Ackerman would be 19 withdrawn with the immediate resignation of Wayne? 20 that your understanding? 21 My understanding was that -- my understanding is 22 that it seemed like he was willing to do that, but I don't know whether -- if you're asking whether he had 23

the wherewithal to do it, I don't know, because it

just -- I was so upset about when he said x months

24

25

THE COURT:

Okay.

MS. PILATOWICZ: And she says -- the questioning was

help Wayne LaPierre?

21

22

23

24

25

I was -- I can say I was fixated on the x months and that it was only a short term as opposed to confidence in him going forth with the future of the organization. That's how I interpreted it.

Hallow - Deposition

110

- 1 "Q But you -- you know Oliver North very well; is that right?
 - "A I thought I did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

- "Q And you professed an affectionate love for him in this deposition, and also I notice you signed some of your emails to him, "Love, Millie," correct?
 - "A There is an affection. He had cancer. I had cancer. We prayed for each other and grew close more recently over that kind of thing.
- "Q Do you think he was trying to extort Wayne LaPierre?
 - "A That's not for me to say. I do -- that is a legal term and I -- and I don't know.
 - "Q Did you get the sense that he was trying to help Wayne LaPierre in this phone call?
 - "A Until I heard the x months, and then --
- 17 | "Q And then what?
 - "A And then in that moment I knew he wasn't supportive.
 - "Q Supportive of Wayne LaPierre's remaining as president?
- 22 | "A EVP.
- "Q Executive Vice President, excuse me. Is that what you mean, not supportive?
- 25 | "A Not supportive ultimately of Wayne."

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I don't know if, again, if it's my issue or it may be our issue, but on 205 it appears that, for whatever reason, the question was not designated beginning on Line 19, so I would ask that we be permitted to start on 205, Line 19.

THE COURT: Any problem with that? That sounds like a fair request.

MS. PILATOWICZ: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, you can start at 19, Mr. Mason. (Deposition read.)

"Q All right. Let's go to the next exhibit, a note from Colonel North from you. For the record, Exhibit 17 is a document turned over by the NRA to us, Bates Stamp No. NRA AMC 59547. What is this note to Colonel North?

"A The -- I at first understood in the afternoon that a -- that Wayne was going to deliver a memo to -- Mr. LaPierre was going to deliver a memo to Colonel North indicating that he had received Colonel North's message and that he would not -- the Nominating Committee would be -- was meeting several days later and that he would not be supporting his re-nomination for president. And at the end of the day, it was put in front of me to sign."

MR. MASON: Your Honor, at this time, Exhibit 17

THE COURT: What do you say to that, Mr. Mason?

MR. MASON: Your Honor, what I would say to that is if you could just give me -- there's actually -- there's actually two different versions of this, and I don't know --I don't know why. Let me see if I can find -- I believe it's on our exhibit list.

THE COURT: Okay. Take your time.

appear to be the same document.

MR. MASON: They're -- Kelsey, do you know which --(Pause.)

THE COURT: While you're looking, I'm going to ask my law clerk a question.

(Pause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Then, to add a problem, Mr. Mason, our -- at least our electronic version doesn't go to 176. It stops at 175, I think.

MR. MASON: I apologize, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's okay.

MR. MASON: Just give me one second.

25 THE COURT: It's okay. It may be in our hard copy.

1 | THE CLERK: No.

THE COURT: No? We're cut off at 175, I think, on Ackerman exhibits.

MR. MASON: So, if I can -- I will try and see if I can find it, but this is -- this is the Exhibit 17 to Ms.

Hallow's with the Bates numbers with the message at the top.

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor, I'd still object.

If you -- if we go through the testimony about this document,

there's a discussion about there being a signed version and

that Ms. Hallow doesn't know if this is the version that

they're referring to.

MR. MASON: Your Honor, I think that this document is important for a couple of reasons. She did testify to it. And if you look at the next testimony, she says that this note was put in front of her to sign and she said she did sign it.

She also testified that the statement, I understand the messages you delivered on behalf of yourself and Ackerman McQueen during our call, she testifies or will testify that there was no message that was delivered by Colonel North on behalf of Ackerman McQueen. And so I believe this is an admission by a party opponent. She identifies the document in this particular -- in her deposition in the testimony that we've cited right here.

MS. PILATOWICZ: And Your Honor, because we don't

```
Hallow - Deposition
                                                              114
1
    have a copy of the exhibit and it's pretty small on the
2
    screen, I can't even see it. If we can blow it up, maybe we
 3
    can address it?
 4
              THE COURT: Is there a way that it can be --
 5
         (Pause.)
              MS. PILATOWICZ: Your Honor, we have no objection to
 6
7
    the admission.
              THE COURT: All right. And we are calling that --
 8
 9
    that's 176; is that right?
10
              MR. MASON: Your Honor, yes. Let's call it 176.
    And then we will -- we will make that -- 176. We'll make
11
12
    that change in the --
13
              THE COURT: And is there a way you can just -- after
14
    we're done, just send one over to Mr. Williams?
15
              MR. MASON:
                         Yes, absolutely.
16
              THE COURT: Thank you.
17
         (Ackerman McQueen's Exhibit 176 is received into
18
    evidence.)
19
              MR. MASON: Going back to Page 206, Line 9.
20
         (Deposition read.)
21
              This note was put in front of you to sign."
22
              MS. TAYLOR: I'm so sorry. I lost my place.
23
              MR. MASON: Page 206, I'll read it again, Line --
24
    beginning on Line 9.
25
         (Deposition read.)
```

"O 1 This note was put in front of you to sign. 2 ''A Yes. 3 "Q Did you sign it? 4 ''A Yes. I signed it and I was asked to hand-deliver 5 it to Mr. North. And I would not do that. They -this is primarily Josh Powell -- said send it to him 6 7 by email, and I did. Who prepared this document? 8 9 ''A I'm not sure, but Josh Powell was the one that 10 put it in front of me. He had a hand in it, but I'm 11 not sure if he did that alone or not. 12 "Q Okay. For the record, this document is 13 addressed, Colonel North, I understand the messages 14 you delivered on behalf of yourself and Ackerman 15 McQueen respectively during our phone call. 16 Let me break that down. Did Colonel North give you any understanding that he was speaking on behalf 17 18 of himself and Ackerman McQueen in that phone call? 19 Actually, not. I don't remember that and it's 20 not in my notes. 21 "0 Okay. And when he says the messages you've 22 delivered, is there -- is there just an improper use

of the word message? It's just one message, right?

It's just one message. Okay. Yeah, it's just

23

24

25

one message.

"O Did you discuss with Josh Powell how you don't 1 2 think that he was speaking on behalf of Ackerman 3 McQueen when he had that phone call with you? 4 I didn't. I was -- I just was sort of by this 5 time kind of, why do I have to do this? So I really didn't look so clearly at specifically that kind of 6 7 detail about what the letter said. And John Powell is your boss at this time; is 8 9 that right? 10 He was chief of staff. I -- I report to Wayne 11 LaPierre. 12 But you were on staff, so he's chief of -- of the 13 staff? 14 ''A Yes. 15 So he came to you and said, sign this, and you 16 signed it without a lot of thought. Is that correct? 17 ''A I would not characterize it without a lot of 18 thought. I was reluctant. 19 "Q But you signed it anyway? 20 ''A I did." 21 MR. MASON: Your Honor, that concludes the Ackerman 22 deposition designation of Ms. Hallow.

THE COURT: Anything else from the Debtor? As I understand it, the Debtor's portion was also read into the record.

23

24

25

MR. GARMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. We have nothing further on that witness.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mason, is Ackerman prepared to rest, subject to -- I've heard the comment subject to calling a rebuttal witness, if that's appropriate, at the end of the Debtors' case?

MR. MASON: We are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MASON: We are prepared to rest.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Garman, the case is going to switch over to you tomorrow morning at 10:30.

MR. GARMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: You're standing at the podium, which is always sort of dangerous for me, but do you have anything that you need me to address this afternoon?

MR. GARMAN: Your Honor, I would like to address two quick things. The first is, as I understand it, you have incorporated the CRO motion, Mr. Robichaux's motion, into our case in chief.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. GARMAN: So it's not our intent to treat it separately. It's our intent to build the relevant testimony into each of the witnesses. Probably call Mr. Robichaux at the end -- towards the end of the week, would be my expectation. But one, I just wanted to make sure that was

consistent with your instructions.

THE COURT: It is. I think I've said this before. I heard the rumblings and then had a chance to look at the motion, and I anticipated that that was going to be part of your case in chief, so -- and I think it's --

MR. GARMAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- going to be important that you put it on during your case in chief.

MR. GARMAN: Okay. I, too, think -- I think that makes sense. I just wanted to make sure I didn't take a misstep.

And second, Your Honor, I know that you said part-day on the 29th and the 30th. I will certainly -- we will certainly accommodate those days. I will say I'd like to raise one concern, Your Honor, which is you will hear testimony, but you haven't heard it yet, that we have scheduled a board meeting for Saturday the 1st to address a plan of reorganization by the board to file with the Court. The board meetings, including the Bylaws Committee, the Finance Committee, and Legal Affairs Committees, do meet the -- earlier, which would be the 29th and/or the 30th.

I will be here in court, but if it were possible I would say I would like to spend next week -- the primary architect of the plan is Mr. Neligan. I don't want there to be any confusion on that point. But certainly I think there's some

hope and expectation this'll be a team effort. If we could do it the 3rd, 4th, 5th, or some other day, I would appreciate it. But if not, I will be -- I will be standing at this podium on the 29th.

THE COURT: What I would say is why don't y'all talk a little bit. I think you've done a marvelous job, with clients that are having significant issues between them, of looking at calendars and things like that.

That's our trial week. Typically, we have some vacancies because we don't have a lot of things going to trial right now. I do know that on that Monday of our trial week we have a confirmation hearing, so I've already slipped something in n it which is not a trial.

But why don't y'all talk about proper use of time. I can't do it the first three days of next week. I have something I can't get out of. But we'll try to accommodate schedules.

MR. GARMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. PRONSKE: Your Honor? Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly. This is Gerrit Pronske for the New York Attorney General. And I just want to state for the record that we would oppose not being able to be heard next week. We think this matter needs to conclude. The Debtors spent \$1,300,000 before bankruptcy, prior to this case, and the fact that a plan has not been prepared, approved by the board, is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

120

not something that we think should generate more time for this trial. We'd like to get this matter resolved and heard. we would like for the Court to see if dates would be available for next week. And, of course, we can hear more about this later on the week, but we do oppose leaving things to the following week. Thank you. THE COURT: Now, Mr. Pronske, I heard you, but remember that we just finished yesterday the seventh day before the NRA -- or today, I guess, the seventh day. So I am concerned about that comment that you just made. We'll be in recess. (Proceedings concluded at 4:16 p.m.) --000--CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 04/21/2021 /s/ Kathy Rehling Kathy Rehling, CETD-444 Date Certified Electronic Court Transcriber

Motion to Dismiss Case - Motion in Support of State of New York's Motion to Dismiss filed by Creditor District

of Columbia Office of the Attorney General for the District

120

121

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

INDEX

of Columbia (214)

of Columbia (423)

END OF PROCEEDINGS